arXiv:1610.04101v2 [math.PR] 7 Nov 2016

MATRIX LIBERATION PROCESS

I: LARGE DEVIATION UPPER BOUND AND ALMOST SURE CONVERGENCE

YOSHIMICHI UEDA

Abstract. We introduce the concept of matrix liberation process, a random matrix counterpart of the liberation process in free probability, and prove a large deviation upper bound for its empirical distribution and several properties on its rate function. As a simple consequence we obtain the almost sure convergence of the empirical distribution of the matrix liberation process to that of the corresponding liberation process *as continuous processes* in large N limit.

1. Introduction

Let $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{sa}}$ be all the $N\times N$ self-adjoint matrices endowed with the natural inner product $\langle A,B\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}:=\mathrm{Tr}_N(AB)$, and it has the following natural orthogonal basis:

$$C_{\alpha\beta}:=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_{\alpha\beta}+E_{\beta\alpha}) & (1\le\alpha<\beta\le N),\\ E_{\alpha\alpha} & (1\le\alpha=\beta\le N),\\ \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}(E_{\alpha\beta}-E_{\beta\alpha}) & (1\le\beta<\alpha\le N).\end{cases}$$

Here, Tr_N stands for the non-normalized trace (i.e., $\mathrm{Tr}_N(I_N)=N$ with the identity matrix I_N) and the $E_{\alpha\beta}$ are $N\times N$ standard matrix units. Using these inner product and orthogonal basis we identify $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{sa}}$ with the N^2-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{N^2}$, when we use usual stochastic analysis tools on Euclidean spaces. Choose the nN^2-dimensional standard Brownian motion $B^{(i)}_{\alpha\beta}$, $1\le\alpha,\beta\le N$, $1\le i\le n$ with natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$, and define

$$H^{(i)}_N(t):=\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N}\frac{B^{(i)}_{\alpha\beta}(t)}{\sqrt{N}}C_{\alpha\beta},\quad t\ge0,\quad 1\le i\le n,$$

which are called the n *independent* $N\times N$ *self-adjoint matrix Brownian motions* on $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{sa}}$. The stochastic differential equation (SDE in short)

$$\mathrm{d}U^{(i)}_N(t)=\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{d}H^{(i)}_N(t)\,U^{(i)}_N(t)-\frac{1}{2}U^{(i)}_N(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\quad\text{with}\quad U^{(i)}_N(0)=I_N,\quad 1\le i\le n,$$

defines unique n independent diffusion processes $U^{(i)}_N$, $1\le i\le n$, on the $N\times N$ unitary group $\mathrm{U}(N)$, which are called the n *independent* $N\times N$ *left unitary Brownian motions*. It is known, see e.g., [12, Lemma 1.4(2)] and its proof, that they satisfy the so-called left increment property, that is, the $U^{(i)}_N(t)U^{(i)}_N(s)^*$, $t\ge s$, are independent of $\mathcal{F}_s$ and has the same distribution as that of $U^{(i)}_N(t-s)$. This property plays a crucial role throughout this article.

Date: Nov. 7th, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F10; 15B52; 46L54.

Key words and phrases. Random matrix; Stochastic process; Unitary Brownian motion; Large deviation; Large N limit; Free probability.

Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research 16K13762.

For each $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, an $r(i)$-tuple $\Xi_i(N) = (\xi_{ij}(N))_{j=1}^{r(i)}$ of $N \times N$ self-adjoint matrices is given. *Throughout this article, we assume that the given sequence* $\Xi(N) := (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1}$ *are operator-norm bounded, that is,* $\|\xi_{ij}(N)\| \leq R$ *with some constant* $R > 0$*, and has a limit joint distribution* σ_0 *as* $N \to \infty$. See section 2, item **3** for its precise formulation σ_0. Here we introduce the $N \times N$ *matrix liberation process* started at $\Xi(N)$ as the multi-matrix-valued process

$$t \mapsto \Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t) = \big(\Xi_i^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)\big)_{i=1}^{n+1} = \big((\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t))_{j=1}^{r(i)}\big)_{i=1}^{n+1}$$
$$\text{with} \quad \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t) := \begin{cases} U_N^{(i)}(t)\xi_{ij}(N)U_N^{(i)}(t)^* & (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ \xi_{n+1\,j}(N) & (i = n+1). \end{cases}$$

We emphasize that the matrix liberation process $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$ is new in random matrix theory and also that each $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$ is a constant process in distribution, that is, its empirical distribution is independent of time, though the whole family $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$ creates really non-commutative phenomena.

The concept of matrix liberation process comes from the liberation process in free probability defined as follows. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a tracial W^*-probability space, and $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{M}$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, be unital $*$-subalgebras (possibly to be W^*-subalgebras). Let v_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be n freely independent, left free unitary Brownian motions ([2]) in $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ that are $(*$-$)$freely independent of the $\mathcal{A}_i$. Then the family consisting of $\mathcal{A}_i(t) := v_i(t)\mathcal{A}_i v_i(t)^*$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(t) := \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ converges (in distribution or in moments) to a family of freely independent copies of $\mathcal{A}_i$ as $t \to \infty$. Following Voiculescu [21], we call this 'algebra-valued process' $t \mapsto (\mathcal{A}_i(t))_{i=1}^{n+1}$ the *liberation process started at* $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$. The matrix liberation process $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$ is a natural random matrix counterpart of the liberation process. The attempt of investigating the matrix liberation process $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$ is quite natural, because independent large random matrices are typical sources of free independence thanks to the celebrated work of Voiculescu [20] on one hand and because the concept of free independence is central in free probability theory and the liberation process is a 'stochastic interpolation' between a given statistical relation and the freely independent one in the free probability framework on the other hand.

The purpose of this article is to take a first step towards systematic study of the matrix liberation process $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$ with the hope of providing a basis for the study of liberation process and free independence in view of random matrices. Here we take a large deviation phenomenon for its empirical distribution, say $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$, (see section 2, item **2** for its formulation) as $N \to \infty$, and actually prove a large deviation upper bound in scale $1/N^2$ as $N \to \infty$. The rate function that we find is shown to be good and to have a unique minimizer, which is identified with the empirical distribution σ_0^{lib} of the liberation process started at the distribution σ_0 (see section 2, item **3** for its precise formulation). Hence the standard Borel–Cantelli argument shows that $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \to \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}$ in the topology of weak convergence uniformly on finite time intervals almost surely as $N \to \infty$.

Let us take a closer look at the contents of this article. Section 2 is concerned with the framework to capture empirical distributions $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$ and σ_0^{lib} in terms of C^*-algebras. We emphasize that the C^*-algebra language is not avoidable if one wants to discuss the appropriate topology on the space of empirical distributions of non-commutative processes, because C^*-algebras are only appropriate, non-commutative counterparts of the spaces of continuous functions over topological spaces. Hence section 2 is just a collection of formulations for several concepts, but important to understand this article.

Our strategy to prove the large deviation upper bound follows the idea used in the celebrated work on independent $N \times N$ self-adjoint Brownian motions due to Biane, Capitaine and Guionnet [3] (also see [7, part VI, section 18]). Namely, we use the exponential martingale of the martingale

$$t \mapsto M_N(t; P; \Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)) := \mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{tr}_N(P(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot))) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big] - \mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{tr}_N(P(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot)))\big] \tag{1}$$

with $\mathrm{tr}_N := \frac{1}{N}\mathrm{Tr}_N$ for any self-adjoint non-commutative polynomial P in indeterminates $x_{ij}(t)$, $1 \le i \le n+1$, $1 \le j \le r(i)$ and $t \ge 0$, where $P(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot))$ denotes the substitution of $\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{ij}(N)(t)$ for each $x_{ij}(t)$ into the polynomial P. Thus we need to compute the resulting exponential martingale by giving the explicit formula of the quadratic variation of the martingale (1). This is done in section 3 by utilizing the Clark–Ocone formula in Malliavin calculus. This is similar to [3], though we need some standard technology on SDEs in the framework of Malliavin calculus (e.g., [15, chapter 2]). The key of section 3 is the introduction of a suitable non-commutative derivation, whose formula is not exactly same as but similar to the derivation in Voiculescu's free mutual information [21]. This new derivation will further be investigated elsewhere.

The resulting quadratic variation involves the conditional expectation with respect to the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$, and hence we need to investigate its large N limit in the time uniform fashion. This rather technical issue is the theme of section 4, and the proof the main result there is divided into two parts: We first describe the desired large N limit at each time, and then prove that the convergence is actually uniform in time. In the first part we use the known convergence results on standard Gaussian self-adjoint random matrices, while in the second part the use of Thierry Lévy's method in [12] combining combinatorial techniques with the famous Itô formula is crucial.

The rest of the discussion seems standard in the large deviation theory for hydrodynamics. Namely, we need to prove the exponential tightness of the probability measures in question, and introduce a suitable good rate function by looking at the quadratic variation computed in section 3. Those together with proving the large deviation upper bound are done in section 5. In the same section we give a few important properties on the rate function including the fact that σ^{lib}_0 is its unique minimizer, and obtain the almost sure convergence of the empirical distribution $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$ as continuous processes. The final section 6 is a brief comment on one of our on-going works in this direction.

2. Empirical distributions of (matrix) liberation processes

This section is devoted to a natural framework to capture the empirical distributions of (matrix) liberation processes.

Let $\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle := \mathbb{C}\langle\{x_{ij}(t)\}_{1\le j\le r(i), 1\le i\le n+1, t\ge 0}\rangle$ be the universal unital $*$-algebra with subject to $x_{ij}(t) = x_{ij}(t)^*$. We enlarge it to the universal enveloping C^*-algebra $C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ with subject to $\|x_{ij}(t)\| \le R$. Let $TS\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ be all the tracial states on $C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$. We denote by $TS^c\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ the set of $\tau \in TS\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ such that

$$t \mapsto x^\tau_{ij}(t) := \pi_\tau(x_{ij}(t)) \in \pi_\tau\big(\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)\big) \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}_\tau$$

define strong-operator continuous processes, where $\pi_\tau : C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle \to B(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ denotes the GNS representation associated with τ and the natural lifting of τ to $\pi_\tau\big(\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)\big)''$ in $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ is still denoted by the same symbol τ.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\tau \in TS\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\tau \in TS^c\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$.

(2) *For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and any possible pairs $(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_\ell, j_\ell)$ the function*

$$(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) \in [0, +\infty)^\ell \mapsto \tau(x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is continuous.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is trivial, since $\|x_{ij}(t)\| \le R$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): For any monomial $P = x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)$ one has, by assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(x_{ij}^\tau(t) - x_{ij}^\tau(s))\Lambda_\tau(P)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}^2 \\
&= \tau(x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) x_{ij}(t)^2 x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)) \\
&\quad - \tau(x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) x_{ij}(t) x_{ij}(s) x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)) \\
&\quad - \tau(x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) x_{ij}(s) x_{ij}(t) x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)) \\
&\quad + \tau(x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell) \cdots x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) x_{ij}(s)^2 x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)) \\
&\to 0 \quad (\text{as } t \to s),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_\tau : C_R^*\langle x_{ij}(\cdot)\rangle \to \mathcal{H}_\tau$ denotes the canonical map. Since $\|x_{ij}^\tau(t)\| \le \|x_{ij}(t)\| \le R$ as above, we conclude that $t \mapsto x_{ij}^\tau(t)$ is strong-operator continuous. □

Let $\mathcal{W}_\ell$ be the words of length ℓ in indeterminates $x_{ij} = x_{ij}^*$, $1 \le i \le n+1$, $1 \le j \le r(i)$, and for $w \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$ we denote by $w(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ the substitution of $x_{i_k j_k}(t_k)$ for $x_{i_k j_k}$ into $w = x_{i_1 j_1} \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}$. We introduce the function $d : TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big) \times TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big) \to [0, +\infty)$ by

$$d(\tau_1, \tau_2) := \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^m (2R)^\ell} \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}_\ell} \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) \in [0,m]^\ell} \big|\tau_1(w(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)) - \tau_2(w(t_1, \dots, t_\ell))\big|$$

for $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$.

Lemma 2.2. (1) *$\big(TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big), d\big)$ is a complete metric space.*

(2) *For any sequence $\delta = (\delta_k)_{k \ge 1}$ of positive real numbers,*

$$\Gamma_\delta := \bigcap_{k \ge 1} \left\{ \tau \in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big) \;\Big|\; \sup_{|s-t| \le \delta_k} \max_{\substack{1 \le j \le r(i) \\ 1 \le i \le n+1}} \tau\big((x_{ij}(s) - x_{ij}(t))^2\big)^{1/2} \le \frac{1}{k} \right\}$$

defines a compact subset in $TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ endowed with d.

Proof. (1) It is plain to see that d defines a metric on $TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$. Thus it suffices to confirm the completeness of the space.

Let $\tau_k \in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ be a Cauchy sequence, that is, $d(\tau_k, \tau_{k'}) \to 0$ as $k, k' \to \infty$. For every $w = x_{i_1 j_1} \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell} \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$ we have

$$|\tau_k(w(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)) - \tau_{k'}(w(t_1, \dots, t_\ell))| \le 2^m (2R)^\ell d(\tau_k, \tau_{k'}) \to 0$$

as $k, k' \to \infty$ for every $(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) \in [0, m]^\ell$. Hence, the limit of $\tau_k(x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_1 j_\ell}(t_\ell))$ as $k \to \infty$ exists for every word $x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)$ in $\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$. Since $\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ is the universal $*$-algebra generated by the $x_{ij}(t) = x_{ij}(t)^*$, the words $x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)$ together with the unit 1 form a linear basis. Hence, we can construct a linear functional τ on $\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ in such a way that $\tau(1) = 1$ and $\tau(x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell)) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_k(x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell j_\ell}(t_\ell))$; hence $\tau(P) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_k(P)$ for every $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{ij}(\cdot)\rangle$. Clearly, τ is a tracial state. We have $|\tau(P)| = \lim_{k \to \infty} |\tau_k(P)| \le \|P\|$ for every $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ ($\hookrightarrow C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ naturally), and therefore, τ extends a tracial state on $C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$.

Fix $w \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for a while. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\bigl|\tau_k(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell)) - \tau(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell))\bigr| &= \lim_{k'\to\infty} \bigl|\tau_k(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell)) - \tau_{k'}(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell))\bigr| \\ &\le 2^m (2R)^\ell \varlimsup_{k'\to\infty} d(\tau_k, \tau_{k'})\end{aligned}$$

for every $(t_1,\dots,t_\ell) \in [0,m]^\ell$; hence

$$\sup_{(t_1,\dots,t_\ell)\in[0,m]^\ell} \bigl|\tau_k(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell)) - \tau(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell))\bigr| \le 2^m (2R)^\ell \varlimsup_{k'\to\infty} d(\tau_k, \tau_{k'}).$$

Thus $\tau(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell)) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \tau_k(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell))$ is uniform in $(t_1,\dots,t_\ell) \in [0,m]^\ell$. Since $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, we conclude, by Lemma 2.1, that $\tau \in TS^c\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\bigr)$.

(2) Let τ_k be an arbitrary sequence in Γ_δ. For every $w \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$, the sequence of continuous functions $\tau_k(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell))$ on $[0,+\infty)^\ell$ is equicontinuous, since

$$\bigl|\tau_k(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell)) - \tau_k(w(t'_1,\dots,t'_\ell))\bigr| \le R^{\ell-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \tau_k\bigl((x_{ij}(t_m) - x_{ij}(t'_m))^2\bigr)^{1/2}$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Hence, for each $w \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$, the Arzela-Ascoli theorem (see e.g., [17, Theorem 11.28]) guarantees that any subsequence of τ_k has a subsequence $\tau_{k'}$ such that $\tau_{k'}(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell))$ converges uniformly on $[0,m]^\ell$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ as $k' \to \infty$. Since each $\mathcal{W}_\ell$ is a finite set, we may number all the possible words by natural numbers, and the usual diagonal argument enables us to select a subsequence τ_{k_p} in such a way that for every $w \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$, the sequence of continuous functions $\tau_{k_p}(w(t_1,\dots,t_\ell))$ converges uniformly on $[0,m]^\ell$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ as $p \to \infty$. In the same way as in the discussion about (1) above we can construct a tracial state $\tau \in TS^c\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\bigr)$ in such a way that $d(\tau_{k_p}, \tau) \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$. Moreover, for every pair $s,t \ge 0$ with $|s-t| \le \delta_k$ and every possible pair (i,j), one has $\tau\bigl((x_{ij}(s) - x_{ij}(t))^2\bigr) = \lim_{p\to\infty} \tau_{k_p}\bigl((x_{ij}(s) - x_{ij}(t))^2\bigr) \le 1/k^2$, and hence τ falls into Γ_δ. □

We will provide some notations that will be used throughout the rest of this article.

1. Time-marginal tracial states: Let $C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle = C_R^*\langle \{x_{ij}\}_{1\le i\le n+1, 1\le j\le r(i)}\rangle$ be the universal C^*-algebra generated by the $x_{ij} = x_{ij}^*$, $1 \le i \le n+1$, $1 \le j \le r(i)$ with subject to $\|x_{ij}\| \le R$. For each $\mathbf{t} := (t_1,\dots,t_{n+1}) \in [0,+\infty)^{n+1}$, there exists a unique $*$-homomorphism (actually a $*$-isomorphism) $\pi_{\mathbf{t}} : C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle \to C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ sending x_{ij} to $x_{ij}(t_i)$. When $t := t_1 = \cdots = t_{n+1}$ we simply write $\pi_t := \pi_{\mathbf{t}}$. The $\pi_{\mathbf{t}}$ induces a continuous map $\pi_{\mathbf{t}}^* : TS^c\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\bigr) \to TS\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\bigr)$ by $\pi_{\mathbf{t}}^*(\tau) := \tau \circ \pi_{\mathbf{t}}$, where $TS\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\bigr)$ is equipped with the w^*-topology. By Lemma 2.1 it is plain to see that $\mathbf{t} \mapsto \pi_{\mathbf{t}}^*(\tau)$ is continuous for every $\tau \in TS^c\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\bigr)$. We call $\pi_{\mathbf{t}}^*(\tau)$ *the marginal tracial state of* τ *at multiple time* $\mathbf{t}$.

2. The empirical distribution $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$ of $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$: The matrix liberation process $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$ defines $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \in TS^c\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\,\cdot\,)\rangle\bigr)$ in such a way that

$$\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P) := \mathrm{tr}_N\bigl(P(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))\bigr), \qquad P \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle.$$

We call this tracial state $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$ *the empirical distribution of the matrix liberation process* $\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$. The tracial state $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$ is a random tracial state; actually, it depends upon the n independent left unitary Brownian motions $U_N^{(i)}$ via $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)$. Hence we have a Borel probability measure $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \in \,\cdot\,)$ on $TS^c\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\bigr)$, and the large deviation upper bound that we will prove is about the probability measures $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \in \,\cdot\,)$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$.

3. The empirical distribution σ_0^{lib} of the liberation process with initial distribution σ_0: The limit joint distribution σ_0 of the sequence $\Xi(N)$ is defined to be a tracial state on

$C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle$ naturally. Using its GNS construction and taking a suitable free product, we can construct self-adjoint random variables $x_{ij}^{\sigma_0} = x_{ij}^{\sigma_0 *}$, $1 \le i \le n+1$, $1 \le j \le r(i)$ and n freely independent, left free unitary Brownian motions v_i, $1 \le i \le n$, in a tracial W^*-probability space, say $(\mathcal{L}, \tilde{\sigma}_0)$, in such a way that the joint distribution of the $x_{ij}^{\sigma_0}$ is indeed σ_0 and that the $x_{ij}^{\sigma_0}$ and the v_i are freely independent. Thanks to the universality of the C^*-algebra $C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$, the strong-operator continuous processes

$$x_{ij}^{\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}}(t) := \begin{cases} v_i(t)\, x_{ij}^{\sigma_0}\, v_i(t)^* & (1 \le i \le n), \\ x_{n+1\,j}^{\sigma_0} & (i = n+1) \end{cases}$$

define a tracial state $\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}} \in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$.

Here is a simple fact.

Proposition 2.3. *For every $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ we have $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P)\big] = \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}(P)$, that is, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\,\cdot\,)\big] = \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}$ in the weak*-topology.*

Proof. The proof of [2, Theorem 1(2)] (see also [9, Theorem 4.3.5]) works well without essential change. □

This essentially known fact should be understood as a counterpart of the convergence of finite dimensional distributions, and will be strengthened to the convergence as continuous processes in subsection 5.3. Namely, we will prove that the empirical distribution $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$ itself converges to σ_0^{lib} in the metric d almost surely.

3. Computation of Exponential Martingale

It is plain to see that, as long as $i \ne n+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \big\langle \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t), C_{\alpha\beta}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} &= \big\langle \xi_{ij}(N), C_{\alpha\beta}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^{N} \int_0^t \Big\langle \mathrm{i}\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} C_{\alpha'\beta'}, \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(s)\Big], C_{\alpha\beta}\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \, \mathrm{d}B_{\alpha'\beta'}^{(i)}(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \big\langle \mathrm{tr}_N(\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(s)) I_N - \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(s), C_{\alpha\beta}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \, \mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

in the Euclidian coordinates on $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{sa}}$ with respect to the basis $C_{\alpha\beta}$.

For a given $P = P^* \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ the matrix liberation process $t \mapsto \Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$ gives the (real-valued) bounded martingale $M_N(t) := M_N(t; P; \Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N))$ in (1), that is,

$$M_N(t) = \mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big] - \mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P)\big].$$

The Clark–Ocone formula (see e.g., [10, Proposition 6.11] for any dimension and [15, subsection 1.3.4] for 1-dimension) asserts that

$$M_N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^{N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')} \mathrm{tr}_N\big(P(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))\big) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\big] \, \mathrm{d}B_{\alpha'\beta'}^{(k)}(s),$$

where $\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}$ denotes the Malliavin derivative in the Brownian motion $B_{\alpha'\beta'}^{(k)}$ explained in [15, p.119]. The aim of this section is to compute this integrand explicitly by introducing a suitable non-commutative derivative.

Observe that all the coefficients of SDE (2) are independent of the time parameter and linear in the space variable. Thus, $\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}\big\langle \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t), C_{\alpha\beta}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}$ is well-defined. See e.g., [15,

Theorem 2.2.1] for details. The function $\xi \mapsto U_N^{(i)}(t)\xi U_N^{(i)}(t)^*$ is linear, and hence the matrix-valued process $Y(t)$ in [15, p.126] is given by $Y^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(\alpha',\beta')}(t) = \langle U_N^{(i)}(t)C_{\alpha'\beta'}U_N^{(i)}(t)^*, C_{\alpha\beta}\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}$. By (2), the formula [15, Eq.(2.59)] enables us to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}\langle \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t), C_{\alpha\beta}\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \\
&= \delta_{k,i}\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s)\,\langle U_N^{(k)}(t)C_{\alpha_1\beta_1}U_N^{(k)}(t)^*, C_{\alpha\beta}\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}\langle U_N^{(k)}(s)^*C_{\alpha_2\beta_2}U_N^{(k)}(s), C_{\alpha_1\beta_1}\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \Big\langle \mathrm{i}\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}C_{\alpha'\beta'}, \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(s)\Big], C_{\alpha_2\beta_2}\Big\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \\
&= \frac{\delta_{k,i}\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s)}{\sqrt{N}}\,\langle U_N^{(k)}(t)U_N^{(k)}(s)^*\,\mathrm{i}\,\big[C_{\alpha'\beta'}, \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(s)\big]U_N^{(k)}(s)U_N^{(k)}(t)^*, C_{\alpha\beta}\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the convention of summation over repeated indices (α_1,β_1), (α_2,β_2) as in [15, section 2.2]. For a while, we assume that P is a monomial in the $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$. By the Leibniz formula of $\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}$ we have, for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}\,\mathrm{Re}\Big(\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\zeta P(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))\big)\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{\substack{P=Q_1x_{kj}(t)Q_2\\ s\le t}}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N}\mathrm{Re}\Big(\zeta\,\mathrm{tr}_N(Q_1C_{\alpha\beta}Q_2)\Big)\,\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}\langle \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t), C_{\alpha\beta}\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{\substack{P=Q_1x_{kj}(t)Q_2\\ s\le t}}\mathrm{Re}\Big(\zeta\,\mathrm{tr}_N(Q_1U_N^{(k)}(t)U_N^{(k)}(s)^*\,\mathrm{i}\,\big[C_{\alpha'\beta'}, \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(s)\big]U_N^{(k)}(s)U_N^{(k)}(t)^*Q_2)\Big) \\
&= \mathrm{Re}\Bigg(\frac{\zeta\,\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{\substack{P=Q_1x_{kj}(t)Q_2\\ s\le t}}\mathrm{tr}_N\big(U_N^{(k)}(s)\big[\xi_{kj}(N), U_N^{(k)}(t)^*Q_2Q_1\,U_N^{(k)}(t)\big]U_N^{(k)}(s)^*\,C_{\alpha'\beta'}\big)\Bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

where we identify Q_l, $l = 1, 2$, with $Q_l(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))$ for short. Here and below we used the convention that the summation $\sum_{P=Qx_{kj}(t)R,\ s\le t}$ above means that the resulting sum becomes 0 if no $P = Qx_{kj}(t)R$ with $s \le t$ occurs. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^{N}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}\,\mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\zeta P(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))\big)\big)\mid \mathcal{F}_s\big]\,\mathrm{d}B^{(k)}_{\alpha'\beta'}(s) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^{N}\int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}B^{(k)}_{\alpha'\beta'}(s)}{\sqrt{N}}\times \\
&\quad \mathrm{Re}\Big(\mathrm{tr}_N\Big(\zeta\,U_N^{(k)}(s)\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathrm{i}\sum_{\substack{P=Q_1x_{kj}(t)Q_2\\ s\le t}}\big[\xi_{kj}(N), U_N^{(k)}(t)^*Q_2Q_1\,U_N^{(k)}(t)\big]\mid \mathcal{F}_s\Big]U_N^{(k)}(s)^*C_{\alpha'\beta'}\Big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the notation $\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_t] = \big[\mathbb{E}[Y_{ij}|\mathcal{F}_t]\big]$ for a matrix-valued random variable $Y = [Y_{ij}]$, where we naturally extend $\mathbb{E}[-|\mathcal{F}_t]$ to complex-valued random variables. In the rest of this paper we also write $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \big[\mathbb{E}[Y_{ij}]\big]$.

We are now going back to a general $P = P^* \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$. Write $P = \sum_l \zeta_l P_l$ with $\zeta_l \in \mathbb{C}$ and monomials P_l in the $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$. Then we set

$$Z_N^{(k)}(s) := \sum_l \zeta_l\, U_N^{(k)}(s)\, \mathbb{E}\Big[\, \mathrm{i} \sum_{\substack{P_l = Q_{l1} x_{kj}(t) Q_{l2} \\ s \le t}} \big[\xi_{kj}(N), U_N^{(k)}(t)^* Q_{l2} Q_{l1}\, U_N^{(k)}(t)\big] \mid \mathcal{F}_s \Big]\, U_N^{(k)}(s)^*$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_l \zeta_l \sum_{\substack{P_l = Q_{l1} x_{kj}(t) Q_{l2} \\ s \le t}} (U_N^{(k)}(t) U_N^{(k)}(s)^*)^*\, \mathrm{i}\, \big[\xi_{kj}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t), Q_{l2} Q_{l1}\big] (U_N^{(k)}(t) U_N^{(k)}(s)^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_s \Big],$$

which can be confirmed to be a self-adjoint matrix valued random variable thanks to $P = P^*$. Since $P = P^*$, that is, $\mathrm{tr}_N\big(P(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))\big)$ is real-valued, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_N(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^N \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{D}_s^{(k;\alpha',\beta')}\, \mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{tr}_N\big(P(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))\big)\big) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\big]\, \mathrm{d}B_{\alpha'\beta'}^{(k)}(s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^N \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{tr}_N\big(Z_N^{(k)}(s)\, C_{\alpha'\beta'}\big)\big)\, \mathrm{d}B_{\alpha',\beta'}^{(k)}(s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^N \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \mathrm{tr}_N\big(Z_N^{(k)}(s)\, C_{\alpha'\beta'}\big)\, \mathrm{d}B_{\alpha',\beta'}^{(k)}(s) \end{aligned}$$

and the quadratic variation $\langle M_N(\,\cdot\,)\rangle$ of $M_N(t)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_N(\cdot)\rangle_t &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^N \int_0^t \frac{1}{N} \mathrm{tr}_N\big(Z_N^{(k)}(s) C_{\alpha'\beta'}\big)^2\, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^N \big\langle Z_N^{(k)}(s), C_{\alpha'\beta'}\big\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{1}{N^3} \big\|Z_N^{(k)}(s)\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\, \mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^t \|Z_N^{(k)}(s)\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}^2\, \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

where we used a well-known formula on stochastic integrals (see e.g., [11, Proposition 3.2.17, Eq.(3.2.26)]) as well as $\langle B_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)}, B_{\alpha'\beta'}^{(k')}\rangle_t = \delta_{(k,\alpha,\beta),(k',\alpha',\beta')}\, t$ (see e.g., [11, Problem 2.5.5]).

Here we introduce some non-commutative derivations to describe $Z_N^{(k)}(s)$.

Definition 3.1. *We expand $\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ into the universal $*$-algebra*

$$\mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle := \mathbb{C}\big\langle \{x_{ij}(t)\}_{1\le j\le r(i), 1\le i\le n+1, t\ge 0} \sqcup \{v_i(t)\}_{1\le i\le n, t\ge 0}\big\rangle$$

with subject to $x_{ij}(t) = x_{ij}(t)^*$ and $v_i(t)v_i(t)^* = 1 = v_i(t)^* v_i(t)$, and define the derivations

$$\delta_s^{(k)} : \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\big\rangle \to \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle$$

by

$$\delta_s^{(k)} x_{ij}(t) = \delta_{k,i} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s)\big(x_{kj}(t) v_k(t-s) \otimes v_k(t-s)^* - v_k(t-s) \otimes v_k(t-s)^* x_{kj}(t)\big)$$

for $1 \le k \le n$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle \to \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle$ be a linear map defined by $\theta(Q \otimes R) = RQ$, and define

$$\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)} := \theta \circ \delta_s^{(k)} : \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\big\rangle \to \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle$$

for $1 \le k \le n$.

Although it is natural to define $\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}$ to be $-\mathrm{i}\,\theta\circ\delta_s^{(k)}$, we drop the scalar multiple $-\mathrm{i}$ in the definition for simplicity. It is plain to confirm that $Z_N^{(k)}(s)$ admits the following formula

$$Z_N^{(k)}(s)=\mathbb{E}\big[-\mathrm{i}\,(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot),U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot+s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*)\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big],$$

and hence we have the next proposition thanks to [11, Corollary 3.5.13].

Proposition 3.2. *For any $P=P^*\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{ij}(\cdot)\rangle$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_N(t;P;\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot))=\mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P)\mid\mathcal{F}_t\big]-\mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P)\big]\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha',\beta'=1}^{N}\int_0^t\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}\big[-\mathrm{i}\,(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot),U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot+s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*)\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]\,C_{\alpha'\beta'}\big)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}B^{(k)}_{\alpha'\beta'}(s)}{\sqrt{N}},\\
&\big\langle M_N(\,\cdot\,;P;\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N))\big\rangle_t\\
&=\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_0^t\big\|\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot)),U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot+s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*)\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]\big\|^2_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}\,\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Exp}(t;P;\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)):=\\
&\quad\exp\Big(N^2\Big(\mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P)\mid\mathcal{F}_t\big]-\mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P)\big]\\
&\qquad-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_0^t\big\|\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot),U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot+s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*)\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]\big\|^2_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

becomes a martingale so that $\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{Exp}(t;P;\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N))\big]=\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{Exp}(0;P;\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N))\big]=1$.

For the later use we remark that $-\mathrm{i}\,\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P$ is self-adjoint (since so is P), and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot)),U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot+s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*)\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]\big\|^2_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}\\
&\qquad=-\mathrm{tr}_N\Big(\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot)),U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot+s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*)\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]^2\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

4. Convergence of Conditional Expectation

4.1. **Statement.** For any given $\tau\in TS^c(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle)$ and any $s\ge0$ we will construct $\tau^s\in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{ij}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ as follows. Taking free products, we expand $\big(\pi_\tau\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big),\tau\big)$ to a sufficiently larger tracial W^*-probability space, in which we can find n freely independent, left unitary free Brownian motions v_i^τ, $1\le i\le n$, that are freely independent of the $x_{ij}^\tau(t)$, $1\le j\le r(i)$, $1\le i\le n+1$, $0\le t$ ($\le s$ if $i\ne n+1$). Then we define new strong-operator continuous processes

$$x_{ij}^{\tau^s}(t):=\begin{cases}v_i^\tau((t-s)\vee0)x_{ij}^\tau(t\wedge s)v_i^\tau((t-s)\vee0)^* & (1\le i\le n),\\ x_{n+1\,j}^\tau(t) & (i=n+1).\end{cases}$$

It is known that there exists a unique τ-preserving conditional expectation E_s^τ onto the von Neumann subalgebra generated by the $x_{ij}^{\tau^s}(t)$ $(=x_{ij}^\tau(t))$, $1\le i\le n$, $1\le j\le r(i)$, $0\le t\le s$, and the $x_{n+1\,j}^{\tau^s}(t)=x_{n+1\,j}^\tau(t)$, $1\le j\le r(n+1)$, $t\ge0$, in the ambient tracial W^*-probability space. Via the $*$-homomorphism sending $x_{ij}(t)$ to $x_{ij}^{\tau^s}(t)$, we obtain the desired tracial state $\tau^s\in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$.

To each event $\mathcal{E}$, we associate the essential-supremum norm relative to $\mathcal{E}$:

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{E}}:=\inf\big\{L>0\mid\mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{E}\cap\{|X|>L\}\big)=0\big\}$$

for every random variable X. Here is the main assertion of this section.

Theorem 4.1. *For any* $\tau \in TS^c(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle)$ *and* $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\rangle$ *we have*

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} \varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \sup_{s\geq 0} \Big\| \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}\big[P_{1,N}^{(s)} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_s\big] \cdots \mathbb{E}\big[P_{m,N}^{(s)} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_s\big]\big) - \tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(P_1^{\tau^s}\big) \cdots E_s^\tau\big(P_m^{\tau^s}\big)\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)} = 0$$

with

$$P_{k,N}^{(s)} := P_k\big(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), U_N^{(\bullet)}((\cdot)\vee s) U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*\big), \quad P_k^{\tau^s} := P_k\big(x_{\bullet\diamond}^{\tau^s}(\cdot), v_\bullet^\tau((\cdot - s)\vee 0)\big)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau) := \big\{d\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}, \tau\big) < \varepsilon\big\}$. *Here we use the same convention such as* $\mathbb{E}\big[P_{k,N} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_s\big]$ *as in section 2.*

By definition, $\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)} P$ with $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ is a linear combination of monomials of the form $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s)\, v_k(t-s)^* Q\, v_k(t-s)$ with fixed $Q \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ and $t \geq 0$. Hence the next corollary immediately follows from Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. *For any* $\tau \in TS^c\big(C^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ *and* $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ *we have*

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} \varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \sup_{s\geq 0} \Big\| \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)} P)\big(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot + s) U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*\big) \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_s\big]^2\big) - \tau\big((\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)} P)\big(x_{\bullet\diamond}^{\tau^s}(\cdot), v_\bullet^\tau(\cdot)\big)^2\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)} = 0$$

for every $1 \leq k \leq n$.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** The proof is divided into two steps; we first prove in subsection 4.2.1 that

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} \varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \Big\| \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}\big[P_{1,N}^{(s)} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_s\big] \cdots \mathbb{E}\big[P_{m,N}^{(s)} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_s\big]\big) - \tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(P_1^{\tau^s}\big) \cdots E_s^\tau\big(P_m^{\tau^s}\big)\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)} = 0$$

for each fixed $s \geq 0$, and then in subsection 4.2.2 that the convergence is actually uniform in time s. This strategy is motivated by Lévy's work [12], and indeed his method is crucial in subsection 4.2.2. A slight generalization of what Lévy established in [12] is necessary, and thus we will explain it in subsection 4.3 for the reader's convenience.

Note that all the P_k is 'supported' in a finite time interval $[0, T]$, that is, the letters appearing in those P_k are from the $x_{ij}(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ with $t \leq T$. Note also that we may and do assume that all the given P_k are monomials.

4.2.1. *Convergence at each time s.* Choose another independent n-tuple $V_N^{(i)}$ of $N \times N$ left unitary Brownian motions that are independent of the original n-tuple $U_N^{(i)}$. Denote by $\mathbb{E}_V$ the expectation only in the stochastic processes $V_N^{(i)}$. Then it is not hard to see that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[P_{k,N}^{(s)} \,\big|\, \mathcal{F}_s\big] = \mathbb{E}_V\big[P_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)^V(\cdot), V_N^{(\bullet)}((\cdot - s)\vee 0))\big]$$

due to the left increment property of left unitary Brownian motions. Define

$$\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)_s^V(t) := \begin{cases} V_N^{(i)}((t-s)\vee 0)\, \xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t\wedge s)\, V_N^{(i)}((t-s)\vee 0)^* & (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ \xi_{n+1\,j}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t) = \xi_{n+1\,j}(N) & (i = n+1). \end{cases}$$

Note that $P_{k,s,N}^V := P_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)_s^V(\cdot), V_N^{(\bullet)}((\cdot - s)\vee 0))$ depends only on a finite number of $V_N^{(i)}(t)$ because we have fixed s. Each of those $V_N^{(i)}(t)$ is written as

$$V_N^{(i)}(t) = W_N^{(i,k)}(t - (k/3)) W_N^{(i,k-1)}(1/3) \cdots W_N^{(i,0)}(1/3) \quad \text{or} \quad W_N^{(i,0)}(t)$$

with $W_N^{(i,l)}(t) := V_N^{(i)}(t + (l/3)) V_N^{(i)}(l/3)^*$, $0 \leq t \leq 1/3$. Note that those $W_N^{(i,l)}(t)$ $(0 \leq t \leq 1/3)$ become independent, $N \times N$ left unitary Brownian motions. In this way, we may think of $P_{k,s,N}^V$

as a monomial in some $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$ (with $t \le s$ as long as $i \ne n+1$) and some $W_N^{(i,l)}(t), W_N^{(i,l)}(t)^*$ with $0 \le t \le 1/3$. Accordingly, we write $w_{i,l}^\tau(t) := v_i^\tau(t + (l/3))v_i^\tau(l/3)^*$, $0 \le t \le 1/3$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, which become left free unitary Brownian motions. Then $P_k^{\tau^s} = P_k(x_{\bullet\diamond}^{\tau^s}(\cdot), v_\bullet^\tau((\,\cdot - s) \vee 0))$ is also the same monomial as $P_{k,s,N}^V$ with the substitution of $x_{ij}^\tau(t)$ and $w_{i,l}^\tau(t)$ for $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$ and $W_N^{(i,l)}(t)$, respectively. Consequently, it suffices, for the purpose here, to prove that

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \Big\| \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_{1,N}\big] \cdots \mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_{m,N}\big]\big) - \tau\big(E_s^\tau(Q_1^\tau) \cdots E_s^\tau(Q_m^\tau)\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)} = 0 \tag{4}$$

with

$$Q_{k,N} := Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)), \quad Q_k^\tau := Q_k(x_{\bullet\diamond}^\tau(\cdot), w_{\bullet,\circ}^\tau(\cdot)), \quad 1 \le k \le m$$

for any given monomials $Q_1, \ldots, Q_m$ in indeterminates $x_{ij}(t)$ (with $0 \le t \le s$ as long as $i \ne n+1$), $w_{i,l}(t)$, $w_{i,l}(t)^*$ with $0 < t \le 1/3$, where $\mathbb{E}_W$ denotes the expectation only in the stochastic processes $W_N^{(i,l)}$ and also $Q_{k,N}$ and Q_k^τ are defined similarly as above.

Note that the given monomials $Q_1, \ldots, Q_m$ depend only on a finite number of indeterminates $x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1), \ldots, x_{i_p j_p}(t_p), x_{n+1\, j_{p+1}}(t_{p+1}), \ldots, x_{n+1\, j_{p'}}(t_{p'})$ (with $1 \le i_1, \ldots, i_p \le n$, $0 \le t_1, \ldots, t_p \le s$) and $w_{i_1 l_1}(t_1), \ldots, w_{i_q l_q}(t_q)$ (with $0 < t_1, \ldots, t_q \le 1/3$). As in [5, section 4] we may and do write $w_{i_k l_k}^\tau(t_k) = f_{t_k}(g_{i_k l_k})$, where f_{t_k} is a continuous function from the real line $\mathbb{R}$ to the 1-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}$ (depending only on the time t_k) and a standard semicircular system $g_{i_1 l_1}, \ldots, g_{i_q l_q}$, which is freely independent of $x_{i_1 j_1}^\tau(t_1), \ldots, x_{i_p j_p}^\tau(t_p)$ and $x_{n+1\, j_{p+1}}^\tau(t_{p+1}), \ldots, x_{n+1\, j_p}^\tau(t_p)$. Accordingly, by [5, Proposition 4.4] we can choose an independent family of $N \times N$ standard Gaussian self-adjoint random matrices $G_N^{(i_1,l_1)}, \ldots, G_N^{(i_q,l_q)}$ in such a way that they are independent of the $U_N^{(i_1)}(t_1), \ldots, U_N^{(i_p)}(t_p)$ (corresponding to indeterminates $x_{i_1 j_1}(t_1), \ldots, x_{i_p j_p}(t_p)$) and the operator norm $\|W_N^{(i_k,l_k)}(t_k) - f_{t_k}(G_N^{(i_k,l_k)})\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \to 0$ almost surely as $N \to \infty$. For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{C}^N} \le 1$, $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbb{C}^N} \le 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\Big(\Big(\mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot))\big] - \mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), f_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)))\big]\Big)\mathbf{x}\,\Big|\,\mathbf{y}\Big)_{\mathbb{C}^N}\Big| \\
&= \Big|\Big(\mathbb{E}_{W\cup G}\big[\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)) - Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), f_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)))\big]\mathbf{x}\,\Big|\,\mathbf{y}\Big)_{\mathbb{C}^N}\Big| \\
&\le \mathbb{E}_{W\cup G}\Big[\Big|\big(\big(Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)) - Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), f_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)))\big)\mathbf{x}\,\big|\,\mathbf{y}\big)_{\mathbb{C}^N}\Big|\Big] \\
&\le \mathbb{E}_{W\cup G}\Big[\big\|Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)) - Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), f_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)))\big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

with the expectations $\mathbb{E}_G$ and $\mathbb{E}_{W\cup G}$ only in the variables $G_N^{(i,l)}$ and the $W_N^{(i,l)}(t)$, $G_N^{(i,l)}$, respectively. Hence we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot))\big] - \mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), f_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)))\big]\Big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \\
&\le \mathbb{E}_{W\cup G}\Big[\big\|Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)) - Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), f_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot)))\big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\Big] \\
&\le \mathrm{Const.}\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\max_{1\le k\le q} \|W_N^{(i_k,l_k)}(t_k) - f_{t_k}(G_N^{(i_k,l_k)})\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

since $\big\|\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)\big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \le R$ and since all the $W_N^{(i,l)}(t)$ and $f_t(G_N^{(i,l)}(t))$ are unitary matrices. Since $\max_{1\le k\le q} \|W_N^{(i_k,l_k)}(t_k) - f_{t_k}(G_N^{(i_k,l_k)})\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \to 0$ almost surely as $N \to \infty$, we conclude

that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\Big\|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_{1,N}\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_{m,N}\big]\big) - \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_{W\cup G}\big[Q_{1,N}^{(f_*(G_*))}\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}_{W\cup G}\big[Q_{m,N}^{(f_*(G_*))}\big]\big)\Big)\Big\|_\infty = 0 \tag{5}$$

with

$$Q_{k,N}^{(f_*(G_*))} := Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), f_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot))),$$

where $\|-\|_\infty$ denotes the essential-supremum norm.

For a given $0<\delta\le 1$, the Weierstrass theorem enables us to choose a polynomial p_{t_k} so that the supremum norm $\|p_{t_k}-f_{t_k}\|_{[-3,3]}$ over the interval $[-3,3]$ is not greater than δ. For a while, we fix such polynomials p_{t_k}, $1\le k\le q$. Since $w_{i_kl_k}^\tau(t_k)=f_{t_k}(g_{i_kl_k})$ and $\|g_{i_kl_k}\|\le 2$, it immediately follows that there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$\Big|\tau\big(E_s^\tau(Q_1^\tau)\cdots E_s^\tau(Q_m^\tau)\big)-\tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(Q_1^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))}\big)\cdots E_s^\tau\big(Q_m^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))}\big)\big)\Big|\le C\delta \tag{6}$$

with

$$Q_k^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))} := Q_k\big(x_{\bullet\diamond}^\tau(\cdot), p_{(\cdot)}(g_{\bullet\circ})\big),\quad 1\le k\le m.$$

Consider the event

$$\mathcal{E}_N := \bigcap_{k=1}^q\big\{\big\|G_N^{(i_k,l_k)}\big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\leqq 3\big\},$$

whose probability $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_N)$ is known to converge to 1 as $N\to\infty$ (see e.g., [1, subsection 5.5] and references therein). Similarly as above we can find a universal constant $C'>0$ so that

$$\Big|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_N}\,Q_{1,N}^{(f_*(G_*))}\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_N}\,Q_{m,N}^{(f_*(G_*))}\big]\big) - \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_N}\,Q_{1,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_N}\,Q_{m,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\big)\Big|\le C'\delta, \tag{7}$$

with

$$Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))} := Q_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot), p_{(\cdot)}(G_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(\cdot))),$$

since $\big\|f_{t_k}(G_N^{(i_k,l_k)})-p_{t_k}(G_N^{(i_k,l_k)})\big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\le\delta$ on the event $\mathcal{E}_N$. By the 'Cauchy–Schwarz inequality' for matricial expectations (see Remark 4.5 below), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\Omega\setminus\mathcal{E}_N}\,Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\Big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\\ &\le\Big\|\mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\Omega\setminus\mathcal{E}_N}I_N\big]\Big\|_{M_N(\mathbf{C})}^{1/2}\Big\|\mathbb{E}_G\big[(Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))})^*\,Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\Big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}^{1/2}\\ &=\big(1-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_N)\big)^{1/2}\Big\|\mathbb{E}_G\big[(Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))})^*\,Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\Big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\Omega\setminus\mathcal{E}_N}\,Q_{k,N}^{(f_*(G_*))}\big]\Big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\\ &\le\big(1-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_N)\big)^{1/2}\Big\|\mathbb{E}_G\big[(Q_{k,N}^{(f_*(G_*))})^*\,Q_{k,N}^{(f_*(G_*))}\big]\Big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}^{1/2}\\ &\le\big(1-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_N)\big)^{1/2}\mathbb{E}_G\Big[\big\|(Q_{k,N}^{(f_*(G_*))})^*\,Q_{k,N}^{(f_*(G_*))}\big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\Big]^{1/2}\\ &\le C_k''\big(1-\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_N)\big)^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with some constant $C_k'' > 0$ depending only on Q_k, since the $f_{t_k}(G_N^{(i_k,l_k)})$ are unitary matrices and $\|\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \le R$. Since $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_N) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$ as remarked before, we need to prove that

$$\sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \max_{1\le k\le m} \Big\| \mathbb{E}_G\big[(Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))})^* \, Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))} \big] \Big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} < +\infty \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varlimsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} \varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \Big\| & \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_{1,N}^{(p_*(G_*))} \big] \cdots \mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_{m,N}^{(p_*(G_*))} \big] \big) \big) \\ & - \tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(Q_1^{(\tau, p_*(g_*))} \big) \cdots E_s^\tau\big(Q_m^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))} \big) \big) \Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

both of which are similar to what Biane, Capitaine and Guionnet proved in [3, section 4]. However, we will give more 'exact' proofs to them later for the sake of completeness. In fact, (8) and (10) imply that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \Big\| \big\| \mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\Omega\setminus\mathcal{E}_N} \, Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))} \big] \big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \Big\|_\infty = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le m, \tag{12}$$

and moreover, by (9)

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \Big\| \big\| \mathbb{E}_G\big[\mathbf{1}_{\Omega\setminus\mathcal{E}_N} \, Q_{k,N}^{(f_*(G_*))} \big] \big\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \Big\|_\infty = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le m. \tag{13}$$

Remark that $\big\| \|\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_N} \, p_{t_k}(G_N^{(i_k,l_k)})\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \big\|_\infty \le \|p_{t_k}\|_{[-3,3]} \le 1+\delta \le 2$. By (5)–(7) and (11)–(13), we have

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} \varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \Big\| \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_{1,N} \big] \cdots \mathbb{E}_W\big[Q_{m,N} \big] \big) - \tau\big(E_s^\tau(Q_1^\tau) \cdots E_s^\tau(Q_m^\tau) \big) \Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)} \le (C' + C)\delta.$$

Hence (4) follows because $\delta > 0$ can arbitrarily be small and both C, C' are independent of the choice of $\delta > 0$. Hence we have completed the first step expect showing (10) and (11). □

Here, we prove (10) and (11). We need two simple lemmas, which are of independent interest because they are very explicit.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $G^{(i)}$ be an independent sequence of $N \times N$ standard Gaussian self-adjoint random matrices, and $A^{(i)}$ be an sequence of $N \times N$ deterministic matrices. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\big[G^{(p(1))} A^{(q(1))} \cdots A^{(q(\ell-1))} G^{(p(\ell))} \big] \\ & \qquad = \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_2^p(\ell)} N^{\sharp(\gamma_\ell\pi)-1-\ell/2} \, \mathrm{tr}_{\pi\gamma_\ell}\big[A^{(q(1))}, \dots, A^{(q(\ell-1))}, * \big]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathcal{P}_2^p(\ell)$ is the set of all permutations π with $p \circ \pi = p$ whose cycle decompositions consist only of transpositions, γ_ℓ denotes $(1, 2, \dots, \ell)$, $\sharp(\pi\gamma_\ell)$ is the number of cycles in $\pi\gamma_\ell$, and finally $\mathrm{tr}_\sigma\big[A^{(q(1))}, \dots, A^{(q(\ell-1))}, *\big]$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$, is defined as follows. If σ is a cycle $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$, then it becomes

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{tr}_N(A^{(q(i_1))} \cdots A^{(q(i_k))} I_N & (i_1, \dots, i_k \le \ell-1), \\ A^{(q(i_{j+1}))} \cdots A^{(q(i_k))} A^{(q(i_1))} \cdots A^{(q(i_{j-1}))} & (i_j = \ell), \\ \qquad I_N & (k = 1, i_1 = \ell), \end{cases}$$

and generally it is to be

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\sigma_1}\big[A^{(q(1))}, \dots, A^{(q(\ell-1))}, * \big] \cdots \mathrm{tr}_{\sigma_m}\big[A^{(q(1))}, \dots, A^{(q(\ell-1))}, * \big]$$

with cycle decomposition $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m$ (n.b., only one cycle σ_k contains ℓ; hence no ambiguity occurs in the above product because its factors commute with each other).

Note that $\mathrm{tr}_N(\mathrm{tr}_\sigma[A_1, \dots, A_{\ell-1}, *]\, A_\ell) = \mathrm{tr}_\sigma[A_1, \dots, A_{\ell-1}, A_\ell]$ with the notation of [14, Proposition 22.32] on the right-hand side. This is the key of the proof below.

Proof. Remark that $\mathrm{tr}_N(XA) = \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}\big[G^{(p(1))}A^{(q(1))}\cdots A^{(q(\ell-1))}G^{(p(\ell))}\big]A\big)$ for all A forces $X = \mathbb{E}\big[G^{(p(1))}A^{(q(1))}\cdots A^{(q(\ell-1))}G^{(p(\ell))}\big]$. This together with [14, Proposition 22.32] (see the above remark) implies the desired result. □

This lemma immediately implies (10), because $\|\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \le R$ and $\sharp(\gamma_{\ell_k}\pi) - 1 - \ell_k/2 \le 0$, see e.g., [14, Exercise 22.15].

Similarly as above we derive the next lemma from [14, Proposition 22.33] and its discussion there.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be a tracial W^*-probability space. Let g_i be an freely independent sequence of standard semicircular elements in $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, and a_i be an sequence of elements in $\mathcal{M}$ which are freely independent of the g_i. Let E be a unique τ-preserving conditional expectation onto the von Neumann subalgebra generated by the a_i. Then we have*

$$E\big(g_{p(1)}a_{q(1)}\cdots a_{q(\ell-1)}g_{p(\ell)}\big) = \sum_{\pi\in NC_2^p(\ell)} \tau_{\pi\gamma_\ell}\big[a_{q(1)},\dots,a_{q(\ell-1)},*\big],$$

where $NC_2^p(\ell)$ is the subset of all $\pi\in\mathcal{P}_2^p(\ell)$ that are non-crossing as partitions. The other undefined symbol $\tau_{\pi\gamma_\ell}[a_{q(1)},\dots,a_{q(\ell-1)},*]$ is similarly defined as in the previous lemma.

It is not so hard to derive (11) from Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 in the following way: For simplicity we write

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))} &= A_k^{(q(0))}G_k^{(p(1))}A_k^{(q(1))}\cdots A_k^{(q(\ell_k-1))}G_k^{(p(\ell_k))}A_k^{(q(\ell_k))},\\ Q_k^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))} &= a_{q(0)}^{(k)}g_{p(1)}^{(k)}a_{q(1)}^{(k)}\cdots a_{q(\ell_k-1)}^{(k)}g_{p(\ell_k)}^{(k)}a_{q(\ell_k)}^{(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

where each $G_k^{(p(\cdot))}$ (or $A_k^{(q(\cdot))}$) is some of the $G_N^{(i,l)}$ (resp. a product of some $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$ ($t\le s$ as long as $i\ne n+1$) or I_N) and accordingly, each $g_{p(\cdot)}^{(k)}$ (or $a_{q(\cdot)}^{(k)}$) is some of the g_{il} (resp. a product of some $x_{ij}^\tau(t)$ ($t\le s$ as long as $i\ne n+1$) or 1). Remark that $\sharp(\gamma_{\ell_k}\pi) - 1 - \ell_k/2$ is always non-positive and equals 0 if and only if π is non-crossing, see e.g., [14, Exercise 22.15]. Hence, by Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_{k,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big] &= \sum_{\pi\in NC_2^p(\ell_k)} A_k^{(q(0))}\mathrm{tr}_{\pi\gamma_{\ell_k}}\big[A_k^{(q(1))},\dots,A_k^{(q(\ell_k-1))},*\big]\,A_k^{(q(\ell_k))}\\ &\quad + \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}_2^p(\ell_k)\setminus NC_2^p(\ell_k)} N^{\sharp(\gamma_{\ell_k}\pi)-1-\ell_k/2}\\ &\qquad\qquad \times A_k^{(q(0))}\mathrm{tr}_{\pi\gamma_{\ell_k}}\big[A_k^{(q(1))},\dots,A_k^{(q(\ell_k-1))},*\big]\,A_k^{(q(\ell_k))},\\ E_s^\tau\big(Q_k^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))}\big) &= \sum_{\pi\in NC_2^p(\ell_k)} a_{q(0)}^{(k)}\mathrm{tr}_{\pi\gamma_{\ell_k}}\big[a_{q(1)}^{(k)},\dots,a_{q(\ell_k-1)}^{(k)},*\big]\,a_{q(\ell_k)}^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by $\|\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\le R$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_{1,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_{m,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\big) - \tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(Q_1^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))}\big)\cdots E_s^\tau\big(Q_m^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))}\big)\big)\Big|\\ &\qquad\le \big|S(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(W_1),\dots,\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(W_L)) - S(\tau(W_1),\dots,\tau(W_L))\big| + \frac{C'''}{N} \end{aligned}$$

with some monomials $W_1,\dots,W_L$ in the $x_{ij}(t)$ and a positive constant $C'''>0$ (which is independent of N), where S is a certain polynomial of commutative indeterminates. It follows that

$$\overline{\lim_{N\to\infty}}\,\Big\|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_{1,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}_G\big[Q_{m,N}^{(p_*(G_*))}\big]\big) - \tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(Q_1^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))}\big)\cdots E_s^\tau\big(Q_m^{(\tau,p_*(g_*))}\big)\big)\Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)}$$

$$\leq \Big\| S(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(W_1),\dots,\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(W_L)) - S(\tau(W_1),\dots,\tau(W_L)) \Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(\tau)}.$$

By definition, for a given $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that for every $0 < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$ one has

$$\Big\| S(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(W_1),\dots,\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(W_L)) - S(\tau(W_1),\dots,\tau(W_L)) \Big\|_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon'}(\tau)} \leq \delta.$$

Hence we are done. □

Remark 4.5. (See e.g., [16, Exercise 3.4 in p.40]) Let $X = [X_{ij}]$ be a matrix whose entries are integrable. If X is positive-definite almost surely, then so is $\mathbb{E}[X] = [\mathbb{E}[X_{ij}]]$ since $\sum_{i,j} \bar{\zeta}_i \mathbb{E}(X_{ij})\zeta_j = \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{i,j} \bar{\zeta}_i X_{ij}\zeta_j\Big] \geq 0$ for any scalars ζ_i.

Let $A = [A_{ij}]$ and $B = [B_{ij}]$ be $N \times N$ matrices whose entries have all moments. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[A^*A] & \mathbb{E}[A^*B] \\ \mathbb{E}[B^*A] & \mathbb{E}[B^*B] \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{E}\left[\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \right] \geq O,$$

one has, for all $t, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} t^2(\mathbb{E}[A^*A]\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x})_{\mathbb{C}^N} &+ 2t\,\mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}(\mathbb{E}[B^*A]\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})_{\mathbb{C}^N}\big) + (\mathbb{E}[B^*B]\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{y})_{\mathbb{C}^N} \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[A^*A] & \mathbb{E}[A^*B] \\ \mathbb{E}[B^*A] & \mathbb{E}[B^*B] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t\mathbf{x} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} t\mathbf{x} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{\mathbb{C}^{2N}} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\big|(\mathbb{E}[B^*A]\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})_{\mathbb{C}^N}\big|^2 \leq (\mathbb{E}[A^*A]\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x})_{\mathbb{C}^N}(\mathbb{E}[B^*B]\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{y})_{\mathbb{C}^N}$. It follows that

$$\|\mathbb{E}[B^*A]\|^2_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \leq \|\mathbb{E}[A^*A]\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\,\|\mathbb{E}[B^*B]\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}.$$

4.2.2. *The convergence is uniform in time s.* Let us introduce the map $\Pi_s : \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle \to \mathbb{C}\big\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v_\bullet(\cdot)\big\rangle$ defined by replacing $x_{ij}(t)$ with $v_i((t-s)\vee 0)x_{ij}(t\wedge s)v_i((t-s)\vee 0)^*$ as long as $i \neq n+1$ and also replacing $v_i(t)$ with $v_i((t-s)\vee 0)$, with keeping the other letters. Remark that the resulting $\Pi_s P$ is a (non-commutative) polynomial in the $x_{ij}(t)$ (with $t \leq s$ if $i \neq n+1$) and the $v_i(t)$.

For a while, *we are dealing with an arbitrarily fixed monomial P whose letters are supported in $[0,T]$, that is, the letters are from the $x_{ij}(t)$ and the $v_i(t)$ with $t \leq T$, and so is $\Pi_s P$.* As before we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big[P(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot), U_N^{(\bullet)}((\cdot)\vee s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\big] = \mathbb{E}_V\big[(\Pi_s P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot), V_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot))\big],$$

where $V_N^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are n independent left unitary Brownian motions that are independent of the $U_N^{(i)}(t)$ with $t \leq s$. Denote by $L(P)$ the number of letters in the given monomial P (we call it the length of P). Observe that $L(\Pi_s P) \leq 3L(P)$.

In what follows, we fix s, but will give our desired estimate in such a way that it is independent of the choice of s.

Let us introduce the following algorithm: If $v_i(t_1), v_i(t_2), \dots, v_i(t_{\ell_i})$ with $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{\ell_i}$ (*n.b.*, $\ell_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \leq L(\Pi_s P) \leq 3L(P)$) are all the $v_i(\cdot)$ letters appearing in $\Pi_s P$, we replace these with

$$w_{i1},\ w_{i2}w_{i1},\ w_{i3}w_{i2}w_{i1}, \dots,\ w_{i\ell_i}\cdots w_{i2}w_{i1}$$

with new indeterminates w_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell_i$ ($\leq 3L(P)$)), and set $t_{ij} := t_j - t_{j-1}$ with $t_0 := 0$. Applying this algorithm to the monomial $\Pi_s P$, we get a new monomial $\widehat{\Pi}_s P$ whose letters are in the $x_{ij}(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq s$) and the w_{ij}. Observe the following rather rough estimates

$$L(\widehat{\Pi}_s P) \leq L(\Pi_s P)^2 \leq 9L(P)^2, \qquad t_{ij} \leq T. \tag{14}$$

Let $W_N^{(i,j)}$ be independent left unitary Brownian motions that are independent of the $U_N^{(i)}(t)$ with $t \le s$ and denote by $\mathbb{E}_W$ the expectation only in the stochastic processes $W_N^{(i,j)}$. By the left increment property of left unitary Brownian motions we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big[P(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot), U_N^{(\bullet)}((\cdot)\vee s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\big] &= \mathbb{E}_V\big[(\Pi_s P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot), V_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot))\big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_W\big[(\widehat{\Pi}_s P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(t_{ij}))\big],\end{aligned}$$

where $(\widehat{\Pi}_s P)(\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\bullet\diamond}(N)(\cdot), W_N^{(\bullet,\circ)}(t_{ij}))$ denotes the substitution of $\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{ij}(t)$ and $W_N^{(i,j)}(t_{ij})$ for $x_{ij}(t)$ and w_{ij}, respectively, into $\widehat{\Pi}_s P$.

For simplicity, let us denote $X := \widehat{\Pi}_s P$, and write $X = X(1)\cdots X(\ell)$ with $\ell := L(X)$ whose letters $X(k)$ are from $\{x_{ij}(t) \mid 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le r(i), 0 \le t\,(\le s \text{ as long as } i \ne n+1)\} \cup \{w_{ij}, w_{ij}^* \mid 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le 3L(P)\}$. The substitution of $\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{ij}(N)(t)$ and $W_N^{(i,j)}(t_{ij})$ for $x_{ij}(t)$ and w_{ij}, respectively, into the monomial X is denoted by $X_N = X_N(1)\cdots X_N(\ell)$.

Let $X_N(\ell+1) := A \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ be arbitrarily chosen. Let $\rho : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_{l+1}] \curvearrowright (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes(\ell+1)}$, on which $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes(\ell+1)}$ acts naturally, be the permutation representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}$ over the tensor product components; in fact, $\rho(\sigma)(e_1\otimes\cdots\otimes e_{\ell+1}) = e_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes e_{\sigma^{-1}(\ell+1)}$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}$. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}$ we define, following [12, section 3] (rather than Lemma 4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{tr}_\sigma(X_N(1),\dots,X_N(\ell),X_N(\ell+1)) \\ &\quad := \frac{1}{N^{\sharp(\sigma)}}\mathrm{Tr}_N^{\otimes(\ell+1)}(\rho(\sigma)\,(X_N(1)\otimes\cdots X_N(\ell)\otimes X_N(\ell+1))) \\ &\quad = \prod_{(k_1,\dots,k_*)\preccurlyeq\sigma} \mathrm{tr}_N(X_N(k_*)\cdots X_N(k_1)),\end{aligned}$$

where $(k_1,\dots,k_*) \preccurlyeq \sigma$ means that $(k_1,\dots,k_*)$ is a cycle component of the cycle decomposition of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}$, and $\sharp(\sigma)$ denotes the number of cycles in σ as in the previous subsection. *Note that* $\mathrm{tr}_\sigma(\cdots)$ *here is not consistent with* $\mathrm{tr}_\sigma[\cdots]$ *in Lemma 4.3, but* $\mathrm{tr}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\cdots) = \mathrm{tr}_\sigma[\cdots]$ *holds.* In particular, for the cycle $\gamma_{\ell+1} = (1,\dots,\ell,\ell+1)$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_W\big[\mathrm{tr}_{\gamma_{\ell+1}^{-1}}(X_N(1),\dots,X_N(\ell),A)\big] = \mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_W\big[X_N\big]A\big).$$

Then by a slight generalization of [12, Proposition 3.5] (see the next subsection for its precise statement with a detailed proof) there exist universal coefficients c_σ, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}$, depending on the t_{ij} and X, and a universal constant $C > 1$, depending only on T and $L(P)$ due to (14) (and hence only on P), such that

$$\left|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_W\big[X_N\big]A\big) - \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}} c_\sigma\,\mathrm{tr}_\sigma\big(X_N^{(0)}(1),\dots,X_N^{(0)}(\ell),A\big)\right| \le \frac{C}{N^2}\,\|A\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,1}$$

and

$$\big|c_\sigma\big| \le C, \quad \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1} \tag{15}$$

with

$$X_N^{(0)}(k) := \begin{cases} I_N & (X(k) = w_{ij} \text{ or } w_{ij}^*), \\ X_N(k) & (\text{otherwise}),\end{cases}$$

since $\big|\mathrm{tr}_\sigma\big(X_N^{(0)}(1),\dots,X_N^{(0)}(\ell),A\big)\big| \le (R\vee 1)^{L(P)}\,\|A\|_{1,\mathrm{tr}_N}$ (n.b., the procedure from P to $X[P] = \widehat{\Pi}_s P$ does not make the number of $x_{ij}(t)$ increase), where $\|-\|_{1,\mathrm{tr}_N}$ denotes the

trace norm with respect to the normalized trace tr_N. Since

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}} c_\sigma \,\mathrm{tr}_\sigma\big(X_N^{(0)}(1),\dots,X_N^{(0)}(\ell),A\big) = \mathrm{tr}_N\left(\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}} c_\sigma \,\mathrm{tr}_{\sigma^{-1}}\big[X_N^{(0)}(1),\dots,X_N^{(0)}(\ell),*\big]\,A\right)$$

with the notation in Lemma 4.3 and since $A\in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}_W\big[X_N\big] - \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell+1}} c_\sigma \,\mathrm{tr}_{\sigma^{-1}}\big[X_N^{(0)}(1),\dots,X_N^{(0)}(\ell),*\big]\right\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})} \le \frac{C}{N^2}. \tag{16}$$

Notice that $\mathrm{tr}_{\sigma^{-1}}\big[X_N^{(0)}(1),\dots,X_N^{(0)}(\ell),*\big]$ depends only on the traces tr_N of monomials in the $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$ (with $0\le t\le s$ as long as $i\neq n+1$), or other words, the $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$ of monomials in the $x_{ij}(t)$ (with $0\le t\le s$ as long as $i\neq n+1$).

Observe that (16) holds for any monomial P and $s\ge 0$, and we should write $X = X[P] := \widehat{\Pi}_s P$, $\ell = \ell_P$ $(= L(X[P]))$, $c_\sigma = c_\sigma(P)$ and $C = C_P$ for clarifying the dependency in what follows. Set

$$X[P]^{(0)}(k) := \begin{cases} 1 & (X[P](k) = w_{ij} \text{ or } w_{ij}^*), \\ X[P](k) & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

and for simplicity we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma;P) &:= \mathrm{tr}_{\sigma^{-1}}\big[X[P]_N^{(0)}(1),\dots,X[P]_N^{(0)}(\ell_P),*\big],\\
\tau(\sigma;P) &:= \tau_{\sigma^{-1}}\big[X[P]^{(0)}(1),\dots,X[P]^{(0)}(\ell_P),*\big],\\
\mathcal{E}(P;\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}) &:= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell_P+1}} c_\sigma(P)\,\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma;P),\\
\mathcal{E}(P;\tau) &:= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell_P+1}} c_\sigma(P)\,\tau(\sigma;P).
\end{aligned}$$

We are now finalize the proof by using what we have prepared so far. Let $P_1,\dots,P_m$ be any monomials such as the above P, that is, all the letters appearing in those are supported in a finite time interval $[0,T]$, and rewrite $\ell_k := \ell_{P_k} = L(X[P_k])$ and set $L := \max\{L(P_k)\mid 1\le k\le m\}$ and $C_0 := \max\{C_{P_k}\mid 1\le k\le m\}$ for simplicity. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathrm{tr}_N\Big(\mathcal{E}(P_1;\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)})\cdots\mathcal{E}(P_m;\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)})\Big) - \tau\Big(\mathcal{E}(P_1;\tau)\cdots\mathcal{E}(P_m;\tau)\Big)\right|\\
&\le \sum_{\substack{\sigma_k\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell_k+1}\\(1\le k\le m)}} \big|c_{\sigma_1}(P_1)\cdots c_{\sigma_m}(P_m)\big|\times\\
&\qquad\qquad \left|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma_1;P_1)\cdots\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma_m;P_m)\big) - \tau\big(\tau(\sigma_1;P_1)\cdots\tau(\sigma_m;P_m)\big)\right|\\
&\le C_0^m \sum_{\substack{\sigma_k\in\mathfrak{S}_{\ell_k+1}\\(1\le k\le m)}} \left|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma_1;P_1)\cdots\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma_m;P_m)\big) - \tau\big(\tau(\sigma_1;P_1)\cdots\tau(\sigma_m;P_m)\big)\right|
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

by (15). Let $\sigma_k = \sigma_k^{(1)}\cdots\sigma_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k))}$ be the cycle decomposition such that the rightmost cycle $\sigma_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k))}$ contains ℓ_k+1. Then we may and do write

$$\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma_k;P_k) = \tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(Q_k^{(1)})\,\cdots\,\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(Q_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k)-1)})\,Q_{k,N}^{(\sharp(\sigma_k))},$$

$$\tau(\sigma_k;P_k)=\tau(Q_k^{(1)})\cdots\tau(Q_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k)-1)})\,Q_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k))}$$

with some monomials $Q_k^{(*)}$ in the $x_{ij}(t)$, $i\neq n+1$, $0\le t\le T$, and the $x_{n+1\,j}(t)$, whose total length is at most $L(P_k)\le L$ by construction, possibly with $Q_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k))}=1$, where $Q_{k,N}^{(\sharp(\sigma_k))}$ denotes the substitution of $\xi_{ij}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(t)$ for $x_{ij}(t)$ into $Q_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k))}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma_1;P_1)\cdots\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(\sigma_m;P_m)\big)-\tau\big(\tau(\sigma_1;P_1)\cdots\tau(\sigma_m;P_m)\big)\Big|\\
&\quad\le\Big|\Big(\prod_{k=1}^m\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(Q_k^{(1)})\cdots\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(Q_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k)-1)})\Big)\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(Q_1^{(\sharp(\sigma_1))}\cdots Q_m^{(\sharp(\sigma_m))})\\
&\qquad\qquad-\Big(\prod_{k=1}^m\tau(Q_k^{(1)})\cdots\tau(Q_k^{(\sharp(\sigma_k)-1)})\Big)\tau(Q_1^{(\sharp(\sigma_1))}\cdots Q_m^{(\sharp(\sigma_m))})\Big| \qquad (18)\\
&\quad\le\big(1+\sum_{k=1}^m(\sharp(\sigma_k)-1)\big)\times(R\vee1)^{Lm}\times2^{T+1}(2(R\vee1))^{Lm}d\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\big)\\
&\quad\le(9L^2m+1)\cdot(R\vee1)^{Lm}\cdot2^{T+1}(2(R\vee1))^{Lm}d\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that $\|\mathbb{E}_W[X[P_k]_N]\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\le\|X[P_k]_N\|_{M_N(\mathbb{C})}\le(R\vee1)^L$ by construction, since the matricial expectation $\mathbb{E}_W[\,-\,]$ is a unital positive map, see Remark 4.5. Therefore, (16)–(18) altogether imply that

$$\Big|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}_W\big[X[P_1]_N\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}_W\big[X[P_m]_N\big]\big)-\tau\Big(\mathcal{E}(P_1;\tau)\cdots\mathcal{E}(P_m;\tau)\Big)\Big|\le\frac{C_1}{N^2}+C_2\,d\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\big)$$

with constants $C_1,C_2>0$ that are independent of the choice of s. Then, what we established in the previous subsection, the estimate obtained just above and

$$\mathbb{E}_W\big[X[P_k]_N\big]=\mathbb{E}\big[P_k(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)(\cdot),U_N^{(\bullet)}((\cdot)\vee s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*)\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]=\mathbb{E}\big[P_{k,N}^{(s)}\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big],\quad1\le k\le m$$

altogether force $\tau\Big(\mathcal{E}(P_1;\tau)\cdots\mathcal{E}(P_m;\tau)\Big)$ to be $\tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(P_1^{\tau^s}\big)\cdots E_s^\tau\big(P_m^{\tau^s}\big)\big)$, and we finally obtain that

$$\Big|\mathrm{tr}_N\big(\mathbb{E}\big[P_{1,N}^{(s)}\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]\cdots\mathbb{E}\big[P_{m,N}^{(s)}\mid\mathcal{F}_s\big]\big)-\tau\big(E_s^\tau\big(P_1^{\tau^s}\big)\cdots E_s^\tau\big(P_m^{\tau^s}\big)\big)\Big|\le\frac{C_1}{N^2}+C_2\,d\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\big).$$

Since the right-hand side is independent of the choice of s, the desired uniform (in time s) convergence follows. □

4.3. **A slight generalization of** [12, Proposition 3.5]**.** Let $w=w(1)\cdots w(r)$ be a word in the letters $d_1,\dots,d_r$ and $u_1^{\pm1},\dots,u_r^{\pm1}$. Define

$$\varepsilon_k:=\begin{cases}+1 & (w(k)=d_*\text{ or }w(k)=u_*=u_*^{+1}),\\ -1 & (w(k)=u_*^{-1})\end{cases}$$

for $1\le k\le r$. In what follows, we may regard $k\mapsto w(k)$ as a function from $\{1,\dots,r\}$ to the letters $d_i,u_i^{\pm1}$. Let $U_N^{(i)}$, $i=1,2,\dots$, be independent left unitary Brownian motions as before, and $D_i\in M_N(\mathbb{C})$, $i=1,2,\dots$, be given matrices. The substitution of D_i and $U_N^{(i)}(t_i)$ for d_i and u_i, respectively, into w is denoted by

$$w(D_\bullet,U_N^{(\bullet)}(t_\bullet))=W_N=W_N(1)\cdots W_N(r)$$

(whose values are taken in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$) with $W_N(k) = D_i$ or $W_N(k) = U_N^{(i)}(t_i)^{\pm 1}$. Moreover, we set

$$w_\otimes(D_\bullet, U_N^{(\bullet)}(t_\bullet)) = W_N^\otimes = W_N(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes W_N(r)$$

(whose values are taken in $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes r}$).

With the permutation representation $\rho : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_r] \curvearrowright (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes r}$ (see subsection 4.2.2) we write

$$p_N(t;\sigma) := \mathbb{E}\Big[\frac{1}{N^{\sharp(\sigma)}}\mathrm{Tr}^{\otimes r}(\rho(\sigma)W_N^\otimes)\Big]$$

with $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_r)$. (*n.b.* $\sharp(\sigma)$ denotes the number of cycles in σ as before.) The family $p_N(t;\sigma)$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$, forms an $r!$ dimension column vector $p_N(t)$ with indices $\mathfrak{S}_r$. We introduce the operation $\Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_r$, $1 \le l, m \le r$, $\varepsilon, \delta \in \{\pm 1\}$, defined by

$$\Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\sigma) := \begin{cases} \sigma(l,m) & (\varepsilon = \delta = +1), \\ (l,m)\sigma & (\varepsilon = \delta = -1), \\ (\sigma(l),m)\sigma & (\varepsilon = +1, \delta = -1), \\ (\sigma(m),l)\sigma = \sigma(\sigma^{-1}(l),m) & (\varepsilon = -1, \delta = +1). \end{cases}$$

A tedious calculation confirms that

$$\Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon,\delta} \circ \Pi_{l',m'}^{\varepsilon',\delta'} = \Pi_{l',m'}^{\varepsilon',\delta'} \circ \Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon,\delta} \quad \text{as long as } \{l,m\} \cap \{l',m'\} = \emptyset \tag{19}$$

for any choice of $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \delta, \delta'$. We also define the $r! \times r!$ matrices $A_i(w)$ (with indices $\mathfrak{S}_r$) by setting the (σ,σ')-entry as

$$A_i(w)_{\sigma,\sigma'} := -\frac{1}{2}\big|w^{-1}(\{u_i^\pm\})\big|\,\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} - \sum_{\substack{l,m \in w^{-1}(\{u_i^\pm\}) \\ l<m,\, l \overset{\sigma}{\sim} m}} \varepsilon_l \varepsilon_m\, \delta_{\Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon_l,\varepsilon_m}(\sigma),\sigma'},$$

where $|w^{-1}(\{u_i^\pm\})|$ denotes the number of elements of $w^{-1}(\{u_i^\pm\})$ and $l \overset{\sigma}{\sim} m$ means that both l, m are in a common cycle of σ. Then the matrices $A_i(w)$ mutually commute, since the $w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})$ are disjoint. In what follows, $\| - \|_\infty$ means the ℓ_∞-norm on the $r!$-dimensional vector space of column vectors. The next proposition is just a slight generalization of [12, Proposition 3.5], whose proof is a reorganization of the original one.

Proposition 4.6. *With $\gamma_r := (1, 2, \ldots, r) \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{tr}_N(w(D_\bullet, U_N^{(\bullet)}(t_\bullet)))\big] - \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \big(\exp\big(\sum_{i=1}^r t_i A_i(w)\big)\big)_{\gamma_r^{-1},\sigma} \frac{1}{N^{\sharp(\sigma)}}\mathrm{Tr}_N^{\otimes r}(\rho(\sigma) w_\otimes(D_i, I_N))\Big| \\ &\le \big\|p_N(t) - \exp\big(\sum_{i=1}^r t_i A_i(w)\big) p_N(0)\big\|_\infty \\ &\le \frac{1}{2N^2}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^r t_i \big|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})\big|^2\Big) \mathrm{e}^{\sum_{i=1}^r t_i \left|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})\right|^2} \big\|p_N(0)\big\|_\infty \le \frac{r^3 T}{2N^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{r^3 T}\,\big\|p_N(0)\big\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

with $0 = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and $T := \max_{1 \le i \le r} t_i$, and furthermore

$$\Big|\big(\exp\big(\sum_{i=1}^r t_i A_i(w)\big)\big)_{\sigma,\sigma'}\Big| \le \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^r t_i \left|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})\right|^2} \le \mathrm{e}^{\frac{r^3 T}{2}}.$$

Remark that $\frac{1}{N^{\sharp(\sigma)}}\mathrm{Tr}_N^{\otimes r}(\rho(\sigma) w_\otimes(D_\bullet, I_N))$ is a product of moments in the D_i with respect to tr_N of degree less than r. Hence *the above proposition (together with the method in the previous subsection) strengthens Biane's asymptotic freeness result* [2, Theorem 1(2)] *for left unitary*

Brownian motions with constant matrices in the fashion that the convergence as $N \to \infty$ is uniform on finite time intervals.

Proof. (A reproduction of the proof of [12, Proposition 3.5].) The algebra $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes r}$ has r different $M_N(\mathbb{C})$-bimodule structures

$$M_N(\mathbb{C}) \overset{\theta_k^{(+1)}}{\curvearrowright} M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes r} \overset{\theta_k^{(-1)}}{\curvearrowleft} M_N(\mathbb{C})$$

defined by

$$\theta_k^{(+1)}(X)(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_r) := Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes XY_k \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_r,$$
$$\theta_k^{(-1)}(X)(Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_k \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_r) := Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_kX \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_r$$

for $X \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_r \in M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes r}$. The Itô formula enables us to obtain (see [12, Lemma 3.7]) that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} p_N(t;\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2}\big|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm}\})\big|\, p_N(t;\sigma) + \sum_{\substack{l,m \in w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\}) \\ l<m}} \varepsilon_l\varepsilon_m \frac{1}{N^{\sharp(\sigma)}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}_N\big((\theta_l^{-\varepsilon_l} \otimes \theta_m^{-\varepsilon_m})(C_{\mathfrak{u}(N)})\rho(\sigma)W_N^{\otimes}\big)\Big], \tag{20}$$

where $C_{\mathfrak{u}(N)} = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes E_{\beta\alpha}$ with matrix units $E_{\alpha\beta}$ for $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. Then, by [12, Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9] we have

$$\frac{1}{N^{\sharp(\sigma)}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}_N\big((\theta_l^{-\varepsilon_l} \otimes \theta_m^{-\varepsilon_m})(C_{\mathfrak{u}(N)})\rho(\sigma)W_N^{\otimes}\big)\Big] = \begin{cases} -p_N(t;\Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon_l,\varepsilon_m}(\sigma)) & (l \overset{\sigma}{\sim} m), \\ -\frac{1}{N^2} p_N(t;\Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon_l,\varepsilon_m}(\sigma)) & (l \overset{\sigma}{\not\sim} m). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, with the $r! \times r!$ matrices $C_i(w)$ (with indices $\mathfrak{S}_r$):

$$C_i(w)_{\sigma,\sigma'} := -\sum_{\substack{l,m \in w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm}\}) \\ l<m,\, l \overset{\sigma}{\not\sim} m}} \varepsilon_l\varepsilon_m\, \delta_{\Pi_{l,m}^{\varepsilon_l,\varepsilon_m}(\sigma),\sigma'},$$

we can rewrite (20) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} p_N(t) = \big(A_i(w) + \frac{1}{N^2} C_i(w)\big) p_N(t) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, r),$$

which implies that

$$p_N(t) = \exp\Big(\sum_i t_i A_i(w) + \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_i t_i C_i(w)\Big) p_N(0),$$

since the $A_i(w)$ and the $C_i(w)$ mutually commute due to (19).

Let $\|-\|$ denote the operator norm with respect to $\|-\|_\infty$ on the $r!$-dimensional vector space of column vectors. Observe that

$$\|C_i(w)\| \le \frac{\big|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})\big|\big(\big|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})\big| - 1\big)}{2} \le \frac{1}{2}\big|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})\big|^2,$$
$$\|A_i(w)\| \le \frac{1}{2}\big|w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\})\big|^2.$$

Write $A := \sum_i t_i A_i(w)$ and $C := \sum_i t_i C_i(w)$ for simplicity. Then we have

$$\Big\| p_N(t) - (\exp A)\, p_N(0) \Big\|_\infty$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le \Big\| \exp\big(A+\frac{1}{N^2}C\big) - \exp A \Big\| \|p_N(0)\|_\infty \\
&= \Big\| \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \big(\exp\big(s(A+\frac{1}{N^2}C)\big) \exp((1-s)A)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s \Big\| \, \|p_N(0)\|_\infty \\
&\le \left(\int_0^1 \Big\| \exp\big(s\big(A+\frac{1}{N^2}C\big)\big) \Big\| \, \Big\| \frac{1}{N^2}C \Big\| \, \Big\| \exp((1-s)A) \Big\| \,\mathrm{d}s \right) \|p_N(0)\|_\infty \\
&\le \left(\frac{1}{N^2}\|C\| \, \mathrm{e}^{\|A\|} \int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s}{N^2}\|C\|} \,\mathrm{d}s \right) \|p_N(0)\|_\infty \\
&\le \frac{1}{N^2}\|C\| \, \mathrm{e}^{\|A\|+\|C\|} \, \|p_N(0)\|_\infty \\
&\le \frac{1}{2N^2} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^r t_i \big| w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\}) \big|^2 \Big) \exp\big(\sum_{i=1}^r t_i \big| w^{-1}(\{u_i^{\pm 1}\}) \big|^2 \big) \, \|p_N(0)\|_\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we are done. □

5. Large Deviation Upper Bound

This section is concerned with the proof of the desired large deviation upper bound for $\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}$. To this end, we prove in subsection 5.1 the exponential tightness of the sequence of probability measures $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \in \cdot\,)$, and then, in subsection 5.2, introduce and investigate an appropriate rate function by looking at Proposition 3.2. In subsection 5.3, with these preparations, we finalize the proof by using Theorem 4.1 (with Proposition 2.3).

5.1. **Exponential tightness.** Let us start with the next exponential estimate for left unitary Brownian motions. This lemma is inspired by the proof of [4, Lemma 2.5].

Proposition 5.1. *Let U_N be an $N \times N$ left unitary Brownian motion as in the introduction. Then*

$$
\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta} \|U_N(s) - U_N(t)\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2} \ge \varepsilon \Big) \le 2\sqrt{2}\, \mathrm{e}^{-N^2 L(\varepsilon^2 - (8L+1)\delta)}
$$

holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $L > 0$.

Proof. With $Z_N(t) := \mathrm{tr}_N(2\mathrm{Re}(I_N - U_N(t)))$ we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta} \|U_N(s) - U_N(t)\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2} \ge \varepsilon \Big) &= \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta} \|U_N(s) - U_N(t)\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}^2 \ge \varepsilon^2 \Big) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\delta} \|U_N(t) - I_N\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}^2 \ge \varepsilon^2 \Big) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\delta} Z_N(t) \ge \varepsilon^2 \Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

by the left increment property of left unitary Brownian motions. Thus it suffices to estimate $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0\le t\le\delta} Z_N(t) \ge \varepsilon^2)$ from the above.

One has

$$
2\mathrm{Re}(I_N - U_N(t)) = -\int_0^t \mathrm{i}\,(\mathrm{d}H_N(s) U_N(s) - U_N(s)^*\,\mathrm{d}H_N(s)) + \int_0^t \mathrm{Re}(U_N(s))\,\mathrm{d}s,
$$

since $\mathrm{d}U_N(t) = \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{d}H_N(t)\,U_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}U_N(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ with $N\times N$ self-adjoint Brownian motion H_N. Set

$$
\widetilde{M}_N(t) := -\int_0^t \mathrm{i}\,(\mathrm{d}H(s) U_N(s) - U_N(s)^*\,\mathrm{d}H(s)) = 2\,\mathrm{Re}(I_N - U_N(t)) - \int_0^t \mathrm{Re}(U_N(s))\,\mathrm{d}s,
$$

and observe that $M_N(t) := \mathrm{tr}_N(\widetilde{M}_N(t)) = Z_N(t) - \int_0^t \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{tr}_N(U_N(s)))\,\mathrm{d}s$ becomes a martingale. Let $C_{\alpha\beta}$ be the standard orthogonal basis of $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{sa}}$ as in the introduction. Then $H_N(t) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N \frac{B_{\alpha\beta}(t)}{\sqrt{N}} C_{\alpha\beta}$ with N^2-dimensional standard Brownian motions $B_{\alpha\beta}$. This expression enables us to compute the quadratic variation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M_N\rangle_t &= \frac{1}{N^3}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N \int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}_N\big(\mathrm{i}\,(C_{\alpha\beta}U_N(s) - U_N(s)^* C_{\alpha\beta})\big)^2\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{1}{N^3}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N \int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}_N\big(\mathrm{i}\,(U_N(s) - U_N(s)^*) C_{\alpha\beta}\big)^2\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2}\int_0^t \big\|\mathrm{i}(U_N(s) - U_N(s)^*)\big\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}^2\,\mathrm{d}t \le \frac{4t}{N^2}\end{aligned}$$

as in section 3.

Note that $Z_N(t) = M_N(t) + \int_0^t \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{tr}_N(U_N(s)))\,\mathrm{d}s \le |M_N(t)| + t$. Hence, if $\sup_{0\le t\le\delta} Z_N(t) \ge \varepsilon^2$, then we have both $\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}|M_N(t)| \ge \varepsilon^2 - \delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp(-N^2 L M_N(t)) + \sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp(N^2 L M_N(t)) \\ &\quad\ge \sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\Big(\exp(-N^2 L M_N(t)) + \exp(N^2 L M_N(t))\Big) \\ &\quad\ge \sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp(N^2 L|M_N(t)|) \ge \mathrm{e}^{N^2 L(\varepsilon^2-\delta)}\end{aligned}$$

for any fixed $L > 0$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\delta} Z_N(t) \ge \varepsilon^2\Big) \le \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}|M_N(t)| \ge \varepsilon^2 - \delta\Big) \\ &\le \mathrm{e}^{-N^2 L(\varepsilon^2-\delta)}\left\{\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp(-N^2 L M_N(t))\Big] + \mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp(N^2 L M_N(t))\Big]\right\}\end{aligned}$$

by Chebyshev's inequality. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp(\pm N^2 L M_N(t))\Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\Big(\exp\Big(\pm N^2 L M_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\Big)\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\Big)\Big)\Big] \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp\Big(\pm N^2 L M_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\Big)\times\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big] \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp\Big(\pm N^2 L M_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\Big)^2\Big]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)^2\Big]^{1/2} \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp\Big(\pm N^2 L M_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\Big)^2\Big]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(N^4 L^2\langle M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big]^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Since $t \mapsto \exp\big(\pm N^2 L M_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\big)$ and $t \mapsto \exp\big(\pm 4N^2 L M_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}\langle\pm 4N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\big)$ are martingales thanks to [11, Corollary 3.5.13], Doob's maximal inequality with '$p = 2$' (see e.g., [11, Theorem 1.3.8(iv)] with the help of Jensen's inequality) shows that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp\Big(\pm N^2 L M_N(t) - \frac{1}{2}\langle\pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_t\Big)^2\Big]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le 2\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\pm N^2 L M_N(\delta) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)^2\Big]\\
&= 2\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\pm 2N^2 L M_N(\delta) - 4\langle \pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta + 3\langle \pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big]\\
&\le 2\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\pm 2N^2 L M_N(\delta) - 4\langle \pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)^2\Big]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(3\langle \pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)^2\Big]^{1/2}\\
&= 2\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\pm 4N^2 L M_N(\delta) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \pm 4N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(6\langle \pm N^2 L M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big]^{1/2}\\
&= 2\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(6N^4 L^2\langle M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le\delta}\exp(\pm N^2 L M_N(t))\Big]\\
&\quad\le \sqrt{2}\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(6N^4L^2\langle M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big]^{1/4}\mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(N^4L^2\langle M_N\rangle_\delta\Big)\Big]^{1/2} \le \sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{8N^2L^2\delta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\delta} Z_N(t)\ge\varepsilon^2\Big) \le \mathrm{e}^{-N^2L(\varepsilon^2-\delta)}\times 2\times\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{8N^2L^2\delta} = 2\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-N^2L(\varepsilon^2-(8L+1)\delta)}
$$

for every $L>0$. □

Corollary 5.2. *The sequence of probability measures $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}\in\,\cdot\,)$ on $TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ is exponentially tight.*

Proof. Observe that

$$
\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta}\max_{\substack{1\le j\le r(i)\\ 1\le i\le n+1}}\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}\big((x_{ij}(s)-x_{ij}(t))^2\big)^{1/2} \le 2\,R\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta}\max_{1\le i\le n}\big\|U_N^{(i)}(s)-U_N^{(i)}(t)\big\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2},
$$

since $\xi^{\mathrm{lib}}_{n+1\,j}(N)(t)=\xi_{n+1\,j}(N)$ for all $t\ge0$. Hence, for each $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and for any $\delta>0$ and $L>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta}\max_{\substack{1\le j\le r(i)\\ 1\le i\le n+1}}\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}\big((x_{ij}(s)-x_{ij}(t))^2\big)^{1/2}>1/k\Big)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta}\max_{1\le i\le n}\big\|U_N^{(i)}(s)-U_N^{(i)}(t)\big\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}\ge\frac{1}{2Rk}\Big)\\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^n\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta}\big\|U_N^{(i)}(s)-U_N^{(i)}(t)\big\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}\ge\frac{1}{2Rk}\Big)\\
&\le 2\sqrt{2}\,n\,\mathrm{e}^{-N^2L((4R^2k^2)^{-1}-(8L+1)\delta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 5.1. Therefore, for a given $C>0$, letting

$$
L:=8R^2k^3C\quad\text{and}\quad\delta_k:=\frac{1}{8R^2k^2(64R^2k^3C+1)},
$$

we obtain the following estimate:

$$
\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta_k}\max_{\substack{1\le j\le r(i)\\ 1\le i\le n+1}}\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}\big((x_{ij}(s)-x_{ij}(t))^2\big)^{1/2}>\frac{1}{k}\Big)\le 2\sqrt{2}\,n\,\mathrm{e}^{-N^2Ck}.
$$

With $\delta:=(\delta_k)_{k\ge1}$ it follows that

$$
\mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}\notin\Gamma_\delta\big)
$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{|s-t|\le\delta_k} \max_{\substack{1\le j\le r(i)\\ 1\le i\le n+1}} \tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}\big((x_{ij}(s)-x_{ij}(t))^2\big)^{1/2} > \frac{1}{k}\Big) \le 2\sqrt{2}\, n\, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-N^2C}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-N^2C}},$$

implying $\overline{\lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \notin \Gamma_\delta\big) \le -C$. This together with Lemma 2.2(2) shows the exponential tightness of the measures $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \in \cdot\,)$, since $C>0$ can arbitrarily be large. □

5.2. **Rate function.** We define a map $I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0} : TS^c\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big) \to [0,+\infty]$ to be

$$\sup_{\substack{T\ge 0\\ P=P^*\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle}} \left\{ \tau^T(P) - \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}(P) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_0^T \big\| E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s P)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2}\,\mathrm{d}s \right\}. \tag{21}$$

That the integrand is piece-wisely continuous in s follows from Lemma 5.5 below together with (22): Note that $\mathrm{i}\,\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s P$ is self-adjoint if $P=P^*$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} \big\| E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s P)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2} &= \big\| E^\tau_s\big((\mathrm{i}\,\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s P)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2} \\ &= -\tau\Big(E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s P)(v^\tau_\bullet((\cdot-s)\vee 0) x^\tau_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot\wedge s) v^\tau_\bullet((\cdot-s)\vee 0)^*, v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\Big)^2\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

holds for every $P=P^*\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$.

Lemma 5.3. *If $I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) < +\infty$, then $\tau^0 = \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}$, that is, $\pi_0^*(\tau) = \sigma_0$, and*

$$I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\substack{T\ge 0\\ P=P^*\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle}} \left\{ \frac{(\tau^T(P)-\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}(P))^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^T \big\| E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s P)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2}\,\mathrm{d}s} \right\}$$

holds (and the right-hand side is well-defined with convention $0/0=0$, that is, if the denominator is zero, then the numerator must be zero).

Proof. For each fixed $P=P^*\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$, let $\alpha_T(P) := \tau^T(P) - \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}(P)$ and $\beta_T(P) := \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^T \big\| E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s P)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot), v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2}\,ds$, and consider the function

$$f_{P,T}(r) := \alpha_T(rP) - \frac{\beta_T(rP)}{2} = \alpha_T(P)\, r - \frac{\beta_T(P)}{2}\, r^2 = -\frac{\beta_T(P)}{2}\Big(r - \frac{\alpha_T(P)}{\beta_T(P)}\Big)^2 + \frac{\alpha_T(P)^2}{2\beta_T(P)}$$

on the real line. If $\beta_T(P) \gneqq 0$, then $\max_r f_{P,T}(r) = f_{P,T}(\alpha_T(P)/\beta_T(P)) = \alpha_T(P)^2/2\beta_T(P)$; otherwise

$$\sup_r f_{P,T}(r) = \sup_r \alpha_T(P) r = \begin{cases} 0 & (\alpha_T(P)=0),\\ +\infty & (\alpha_T(P)\ne 0). \end{cases}$$

Trivially $\beta_0(P)=0$ always holds, and hence the above discussion shows that $\alpha_0(P)$ must be 0 for every P, since $I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) < +\infty$. Therefore, we have proved the former assertion $\tau^0 = \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}$.

For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $P_\varepsilon = P^*_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ and $T_\varepsilon \ge 0$ so that $I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) - \varepsilon < f_{P_\varepsilon,T_\varepsilon}(1) \le \max_r f_{P_\varepsilon,T_\varepsilon}(r) \le I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) < +\infty$. Then, the first paragraph shows that

$$I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) - \varepsilon < \frac{\alpha_{T_\varepsilon}(P_\varepsilon)^2}{2\beta_{T_\varepsilon}(P_\varepsilon)} \le \sup_{P,T} \frac{\alpha_T(P)^2}{2\beta_T(P)} = \sup_{P,T} \max_r f_{P,T}(r) \le I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau)$$

with convention $0/0=0$. Hence the latter assertion holds. □

Here is a simple lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be a tracial W^*-probability space with τ faithful, and $u\in\mathcal{M}$ be a unitary, and $\mathcal{N}$ be a (unital) W^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $E:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{N}$ be the unique τ-preserving conditional expectation. If u is $*$-freely independent of $\mathcal{N}$ we have $E(uxu^*)=\tau(x)1+|\tau(u)|^2x^\circ$ for every $x\in\mathcal{N}$ with $x^\circ:=x-\tau(x)1$.*

Proof. For every $y\in\mathcal{N}$, we have $\tau(uxu^*y)=\tau(x)\tau(y)+|\tau(u)|^2\tau(x^\circ y)$ by the $*$-free independence between u and $\mathcal{N}$. Since $E(uxu^*)\in\mathcal{N}$ is uniquely determined by the relation $\tau(uxu^*y)=\tau(E(uxu^*)y)$ for every $y\in\mathcal{N}$, the desired assertion immediately follows. □

The same idea as above shows the next lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be a tracial W^*-probability space with τ faithful. Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be freely independent (unital) W^*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$, and $E:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{N}$ be the unique τ-preserving conditional expectation. Then $((a_1,\dots,a_{n-1},a_n),(b_1,\dots,b_{n-1}))\in\mathcal{L}^n\times\mathcal{N}^{n-1}\mapsto E(a_1b_1\cdots a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_n)\in\mathcal{N}$ is written as a universal polynomial in moments of the a_i, moments of the b_i and words in the b_i.*

Proof. Let us calculate the map

$$((a_1,\dots,a_{n-1},a_n),(b_1,\dots,b_{n-1},b_n))\in\mathcal{L}^n\times\mathcal{N}^n\mapsto\tau(a_1b_1\cdots a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_nb_n).$$

By [14, Proposition 11.4, Theorem 11.16] $\tau(a_1b_1\cdots a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_nb_n)$ is a universal polynomial in moments of the a_i and moments of the b_i. Since the map

$$((a_1,\dots,a_{n-1},a_n),(b_1,\dots,b_{n-1},b_n))\mapsto\tau(a_1b_1\cdots a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_nb_n)$$

is multilinear, each term of the polynomial includes some joint moments of the b_i, where b_n appears only once in a unique joint moment. Then we can obtain the desired assertion in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 5.4. □

We remark that the universal polynomial whose existence we have established admits an explicit formula based on the notation in [14, Lecture 11].

Here is a main result of this subsection.

Proposition 5.6. *$I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}:TS^c\big(C^*_R\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)\to[0,+\infty]$ is a good rate function.*

Proof. By (22) together with Lemma 5.5 we observe that

$$\tau\mapsto\big\|E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_sP)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot),v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2}$$

is a continuous function for every s. Hence

$$\tau\mapsto I_{P,T}(\tau):=\tau^T(P)-\sigma^{\mathrm{lib}}_0(P)-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^n\int_0^T\big\|E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_sP)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot),v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2}\,\mathrm{d}s$$

is continuous, and consequently, $I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}$ is lower semicontinuous. Therefore, it suffices to prove that the level set $\{I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}\le\lambda\}$ sits in a compact subset for every non-negative real number $\lambda\ge0$.

Assume that $I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau)\le\lambda$. By Lemma 5.3 we have

$$\tau^T(P)\le\sigma^{\mathrm{lib}}_0(P)+\sqrt{2\lambda\sum_{k=1}^n\int_0^T\big\|E^\tau_s\big((\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_sP)(x^{\tau^s}_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot),v^\tau_\bullet(\cdot))\big)\big\|^2_{\tau,2}\,\mathrm{d}s}\tag{23}$$

for every $P=P^*\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ and $T\ge0$.

For $0\le t_1<t_2$ we have

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(k)}_s\big((x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2\big)=2\delta_{k,i}\Big\{\mathbf{1}_{[0,t_1]}(s)v_i(t_1-s)^*[x_{ij}(t_1),x_{ij}(t_2)]v_i(t_1-s)$$

$$+\mathbf{1}_{[0,t_2]}(s)v_i(t_2-s)^*[x_{ij}(t_2),x_{ij}(t_1)]v_i(t_2-s)\Big\},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}((x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2)\big)(x_{ij}^{\tau^s}(\cdot),v_i^\tau(\cdot))\\
&=\begin{cases}
2\delta_{k,i}\Big\{[x_{ij}^\tau(s),v_i^\tau(t_1-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_2-s)x_{ij}^\tau(s)v_i^\tau(t_2-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_1-s)]\\
\qquad\qquad+[x_{ij}^\tau(s),v_i^\tau(t_2-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_1-s)x_{ij}^\tau(s)v_i^\tau(t_1-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_2-s)]\Big\} & (s\le t_1),\\
2\delta_{k,i}[x_{ij}^\tau(s),v_i^\tau(t_2-s)^*x_{ij}^\tau(t_1)v_i^\tau(t_2-s)] & (t_1<s\le t_2),\\
0 & (t_2<s).
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

When $s\le t_1$, Lemma 5.4 enables us to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_s^\tau\big(\big(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}((x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2)\big)(x_{ij}^{\tau^s}(\cdot),v_i^\tau(\cdot))\big)\\
&=2\delta_{k,i}\Big\{\big[x_{ij}^\tau(s),E_s^\tau\big(v_i^\tau(t_1-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_2-s)x_{ij}^\tau(s)v_i^\tau(t_2-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_1-s)\big)\big]\\
&\qquad+\big[x_{ij}^\tau(s),E_s^\tau\big(v_i^\tau(t_2-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_1-s)x_{ij}^\tau(s)v_i^\tau(t_1-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_2-s)\big)\big]\Big\}\\
&=2\delta_{k,i}\Big\{\big[x_{ij}^\tau(s),\big(\tau(x_{ij}^\tau(s))1+\big|\tau(v_i^\tau(t_1-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_2-s))\big|^2x_{ij}^\tau(s)^\circ\big)\big]\\
&\qquad+\big[x_{ij}^\tau(s),\big(\tau(x_{ij}^\tau(s))1+\big|\tau(v_i^\tau(t_2-s)^*v_i^\tau(t_1-s))\big|^2x_{ij}^\tau(s)^\circ\big)\big]\Big\}\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

In this way, we obtain the formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_s^\tau\big(\big(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}((x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2)\big)(x_{ij}^{\tau^s}(\cdot),v_i^\tau(\cdot))\big)\\
&\qquad=2\delta_{k,i}\mathbf{1}_{(t_1,t_2]}(s)|\tau(v_i^\tau(t_2-s))|^2[x_{ij}^\tau(s),x_{ij}^\tau(t_1)^\circ].
\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

Then, (23) with $P:=(x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2$ and T large enough, and (24) altogether show that

$$\tau((x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2)\le\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}((x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2)+8R^2\sqrt{2\lambda|t_1-t_2|}.$$

By the construction of σ_0^{lib} (see section 2), we see that $\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}((x_{n+1\,j}(t_1)-x_{n+1\,j}(t_2))^2)=0$ and moreover that, if $1\le i\le n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}((x_{ij}(t_1)-x_{ij}(t_2))^2)&=\|v_i(t_1)x_{ij}^{\sigma_0}v_i(t_1)^*-v_i(t_2)x_{ij}^{\sigma_0}v_i(t_2)^*\|_{\tilde\sigma_0,2}^2\\
&\le\big(2R\|v_i(t_1)-v_i(t_2)\|_{\tilde\sigma_0,2}\big)^2\\
&=4R^2\|v_i(|t_1-t_2|)-1\|_{\tilde\sigma_0,2}^2\to0
\end{aligned}$$

as $|t_1-t_2|\to0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2(2), $\{I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}\le\lambda\}$ sits inside a compact subset. □

We give a few important properties on the rate function $I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}$.

Proposition 5.7. *For any $\tau\in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ we have:*

1. *$I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau)<+\infty$ implies that $t\mapsto x_{n+1\,j}^\tau(t)$ is a constant process for every $1\le j\le r(n+1)$.*
2. *$I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau)<+\infty$ implies that for each fixed $1\le i\le n$ and $t\ge0$, we have $\pi_t^*(\tau)(P)=\sigma_0(P)$ for every non-commutative polynomial P in indeterminates x_{ij}, $1\le j\le r(i)$.*
3. *$I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau)=0$ if and only if $\tau=\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}$. Hence σ_0^{lib} is a unique minimizer of $I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}$.*

Proof. (1) By (23) and (24) we have $\|x_{n+1\,j}^\tau(t)-x_{n+1\,j}^\tau(0)\|_{\tau,2}^2\le\|x_{n+1\,j}^{\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}}(t)-x_{n+1\,j}^{\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}}(0)\|_{\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}},2}^2=\|x_{n+1\,j}^{\sigma_0}-x_{n+1\,j}^{\sigma_0}\|_{\sigma_0,2}^2=0$. Hence $x_{n+1\,j}^\tau(t)=x_{n+1\,j}^\tau(0)$ holds for every $t\ge0$.

(2) Let P be an arbitrary, non-commutative polynomial in indeterminates x_{ij}, $1 \le j \le r(i)$, with a fixed $1 \le i \le n$. It is plain to see that $\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}\pi_t(P) = 0$. Hence we have

$$r\big(\pi_t^*(\tau^T)(P) - \pi_t^*(\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})(P)\big) = \tau^T(r\pi_t(P)) - \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}(r\pi_t(P)) \le I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau) < +\infty$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T \ge 0$, and thus $\pi_t^*(\tau)(P) = \pi_t^*(\tau^T)(P) = \pi_t^*(\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})(P) = \sigma_0(P)$ with T large enough.

(3) By the left increment property of left free unitary Brownian motions (see [2, Definition 2]), it is plain to see that $(\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})^T = \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}$ holds for every $T \ge 0$. Thus, we trivially obtain that

$$I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}) = \sup_{\substack{T \ge 0 \\ P = P^* \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle}} \left\{ -\int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^n \big\| E_s^{\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}}\big((\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(x_{\bullet\diamond}^{\tau^s}(\cdot), v_\bullet^\tau(\cdot))\big)\big\|_{\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}},2}^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \right\} = 0.$$

Lemma 5.3 with its proof actually shows that $I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau) = 0$ implies that

$$0 \le \frac{(\tau^T(P) - \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}(P))^2}{2\sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^T \big\| E_s^\tau\big((\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(x_{\bullet\diamond}^{\tau^s}(\cdot), v_\bullet^\tau(\cdot))\big)\big\|_{\tau,2}^2 \,\mathrm{d}s} \le I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau) = 0$$

(with convention $0/0 = 0$) for all $P = P^* \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ and $T \ge 0$. This (with the proviso in Lemma 5.3) actually shows that $\tau^T(P) = \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}(P)$ holds for every $P = P^* \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ and $T \ge 0$. This immediately implies that $\tau = \sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}$. □

These properties actually show that $I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}$ is indeed a 'right' rate function for our purpose. Further analysis of this rate function $I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}$ will be given in a sequel to this article.

5.3. **Main results.** We are ready to prove the next main result of this article.

Theorem 5.8. *For every closed subset Λ of $TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$ we have*

$$\varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \in \Lambda\big) \le -\inf\big\{ I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau) \,\big|\, \tau \in \Lambda \big\}.$$

Proof. Since the $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)} \in \cdot)$ form an exponentially tight sequence of probability measures and $I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}$ is a good rate function, it suffices to prove the following weak large deviation upper bound:

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{P}\big(d\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}, \tau\big) < \varepsilon\big) \le -I_{\sigma_0}^{\mathrm{lib}}(\tau)$$

for every $\tau \in TS^c\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle\big)$. (This is a standard fact in large deviation theory; see the proofs of [6, Theorem 4.1.11, Lemma 1.2.18].)

Consider the random variable

$$\begin{aligned} I_{P,T,N} :=\ & \mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P) \mid \mathcal{F}_T\big] - \mathbb{E}\big[\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}(P)\big] \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^T \big\| \mathbb{E}\big[(\mathfrak{D}_s^{(k)}P)(\xi_{\bullet\diamond}^{\mathrm{lib}}(N), U_N^{(\bullet)}(\cdot + s)U_N^{(\bullet)}(s)^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\big]\big\|_{\mathrm{tr}_N,2}^2 \,\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(N^2 I_{P,T,N})] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(N^2 I_{P,0,N})] = 1. \tag{25}$$

Let $I_{P,T}(\tau)$ be as in the proof of Proposition 5.6. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\big(d\big(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}, \tau\big) < \varepsilon\big) &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{\{d(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}, \tau) < \varepsilon\}} \exp(N^2 I_{P,T,N} - N^2 I_{P,T,N})\Big] \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{\{d(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)}, \tau) < \varepsilon\}} \exp(N^2 I_{P,T,N})\Big] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\times \operatorname{esssup}\bigl\{\exp(-N^2 I_{P,T,N}) \,\big|\, d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr\}\\ &\le \operatorname{esssup}\bigl\{\exp(-N^2 I_{P,T,N}) \,\big|\, d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr\} \quad \text{(use (25))}\\ &= \exp\Bigl(-N^2 \operatorname{essinf}\bigl\{I_{P,T,N} \,\big|\, d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr\}\Bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}I_{P,T,N} &\ge I_{P,T}(\tau) - |I_{P,T,N} - I_{P,T}(\tau)|\\ &\ge I_{P,T}(\tau) - \operatorname{esssup}\bigl\{|I_{P,T,N} - I_{P,T}(\tau)| \,\big|\, d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr\}\end{aligned}$$

holds almost surely on $\bigl\{d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr\}$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{N^2}\log\mathbb{P}\bigl(d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr) \le -I_{P,T}(\tau) + \operatorname{esssup}\bigl\{|I_{P,T,N} - I_{P,T}(\tau)| \,\big|\, d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr\}.$$

Then Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 4.2 (together with (3) and (22)) show that

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\varlimsup_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{esssup}\Bigl\{\bigl|I_{P,T,N} - I_{P,T}(\tau)\bigr| \,\Big|\, d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\Bigr\} = 0,$$

and hence

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\varlimsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}\log\mathbb{P}\bigl(d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\tau\bigr)<\varepsilon\bigr) \le -I_{P,T}(\tau)$$

for every $P=P^*\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}(\cdot)\rangle$ and $T\ge 0$. Hence we are done. □

Here is a standard application of the above large deviation upper bound and Proposition 5.7(3).

Corollary 5.9. *We have* $\lim_{N\to\infty} d\bigl(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}}\bigr)=0$ *almost surely.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrarily chosen. By Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.7(3) we observe that $\inf\{I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) \mid d(\tau,\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})\ge\varepsilon\}\gneqq 0$. Then, Theorem 5.8 we have

$$\varlimsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}\log\mathbb{P}(d(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})\ge\varepsilon) \le -\inf\{I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) \mid d(\tau,\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})\ge\varepsilon\}\lneqq 0.$$

Thus we obtain that $\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(d(\tau_{\Xi^{\mathrm{lib}}(N)},\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})\ge\varepsilon)<+\infty$. Hence the desired assertion follows by the Borel–Cantelli lemma. □

6. Comments

One of the motivations in mind is to provide a common basis for the study of Voiculescu's approach ([21]) and our orbital approach ([8],[18]) to mutual information in free probability. In fact, the key concept of Voiculescu's approach is the liberation process, while the orbital approach involves orbital microstates by unitary matrices. Thus, a serious lack was a random matrix counterpart of liberation process, whose candidate we introduced in this article. Here we are not going to any detailed discussions about such a study, but only give some comments on it.

We may apply the contraction principle in large deviation theory to our large deviation upper bound obtained in section 5.

Corollary 6.1. *Let* $\nu_{N,T}$ *be the marginal probability distribution on* $\mathrm{U}(N)$ *of the* $N\times N$ *left unitary Brownian motion at time* $T>0$. *Define*

$$I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0,T}(\sigma) := \inf\bigl\{I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0}(\tau) \,\big|\, \pi_T^*(\tau)=\sigma\bigr\}, \qquad \sigma\in TS\bigl(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\bigr).$$

Then for any closed subset Λ *of* $TS\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\big)$ *we have*

$$\varlimsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}\log\nu_{N,T}^{\otimes n}\Big(\big\{\mathbf{U}\in\mathrm{U}(N)^n\,\big|\,\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\Xi(N)}\in\Lambda\big\}\Big)\le-\inf\big\{I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0,T}(\sigma)\mid\sigma\in\Lambda\big\}.$$

Here, $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\Xi(N)}\in TS\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\big)$ *with* $\mathbf{U}=(U_i)_{i=1}^n\in\mathrm{U}(N)^n$ *is defined by* $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\Xi(N)}(P):=\mathrm{tr}_N(\Phi_{\mathbf{U}}(P))$, $P\in\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle$, *where* $\Phi_{\mathbf{U}}:\mathbb{C}\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ *is a unique* $*$*-homomorphism sending* x_{ij} $(1\le i\le n)$ *to* $U_i\xi_{ij}(N)U_i^*$ *and* $x_{n+1\,j}$ *to* $\xi_{n+1\,j}(N)$.

We write

$$\chi^T_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0):=\lim_{\substack{m\to\infty\\ \delta\searrow0}}\varlimsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}\log\nu_{N,T}^{\otimes n}\Big(\big\{\mathbf{U}\in\mathrm{U}(N)^n\,\big|\,\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\Xi(N)}\in\mathcal{O}_{m,\delta}(\sigma_0)\big\}\Big),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{m,\delta}(\sigma_0)$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $\delta>0$, denotes the open subset of $\sigma\in TS\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\big)$ such that $\big|\sigma(x_{i_1j_1}\cdots x_{i_pj_p})-\sigma_0(x_{i_1j_1}\cdots x_{i_pj_p})\big|<\delta$ whenever $1\le i_k\le n+1$, $1\le j_k\le r(i_k)$, $1\le k\le p$ and $1\le p\le m$.

A problem in the direction is to show that $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)\le\varlimsup_{T\to+\infty}\chi^T_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)$ holds (at least under some assumptions like that the $x_{ij}^{\sigma_0}$, $1\le j\le r(i)$, generate a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra for each $1\le i\le n+1$), where $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)$ denotes the orbital free entropy of the random multi-variables $(x_{ij})_{1\le j\le r(i)}$, $1\le i\le n$, under σ_0 (see [8],[18]). If this was the case, then we would obtain that $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)=\varlimsup_{T\to+\infty}\chi^T_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)$ (see below) and $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)\le-\varliminf_{T\to+\infty}I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0,T}(\sigma_0)$. Remark that, if the families $\{x_{ij}\}_{1\le j\le r(i)}$, $1\le i\le n$, are freely independent under σ_0, then it is easy to see that $\pi_T^*(\sigma_0^{\mathrm{lib}})=\sigma_0$ for all $T\ge0$, and hence Proposition 5.7(3) shows that $I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0,T}(\sigma_0)=0$ for all $T\ge0$ so that $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)=-I^{\mathrm{lib}}_{\sigma_0,T}(\sigma_0)$ holds as $0=0$ for all $T\ge0$.

In closing we would like to point out that

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}\log\max\left\{\frac{d\nu_{N,T}}{d\nu_N}(U)\,\middle|\,U\in\mathrm{U}(N)\right\}=\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^2}\log\frac{d\nu_{N,T}}{d\nu_N}(I_N)=0$$

follows from the formula obtained precisely by Lévy and Mäida [13, Proposition 4.2; Lemma 4.7; Proposition 5.2] with the aid of the fact that

$$K(k)=\int_0^1\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\sqrt{(1-s^2)(1-k^2s^2)}}=-\frac{1}{2}\log(1-k)+\frac{3}{2}\log2+o(1)\quad(\text{as }k\nearrow1).\tag{26}$$

Thus, for any Borel subset Λ of $TS\big(C_R^*\langle x_{\bullet\diamond}\rangle\big)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{N^2}\log\nu_{N,T}^{\otimes n}\Big(\big\{\mathbf{U}\in\mathrm{U}(N)^n\,\big|\,\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\Xi(N)}\in\Lambda\big\}\Big)\\&\le\frac{1}{N^2}\log\nu_N^{\otimes n}\Big(\big\{\mathbf{U}\in\mathrm{U}(N)^n\,\big|\,\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{U}}^{\Xi(N)}\in\Lambda\big\}\Big)+\frac{n}{N^2}\log\frac{d\nu_{N,T}}{d\nu_N}(I_N),\end{aligned}$$

implying that $\varlimsup_{T\to\infty}\chi^T_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)\le\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(\sigma_0)$ (use [19, Remark 3.3] at this point).

References

[1] G. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O. Zeitouni, *An Introduction to Random Matrices.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 118. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[2] Ph. Biane, Free Brownian motion, free stochastic calculus and random matrices. *Free Probability Theory.* Fields Institute Communications, **12** (1997), 1–19.

[3] P. Biane, M. Capitaine and A. Guionnet, Large deviation bound for matrix Brownian motion. *Invent. math.*, **152** (2003), 433–459.

[4] T. Cabanal Duvillard and A. Guionnet, Large deviations upper bounds for the laws of matrix-valued processes and non-commutative entropies. *Ann. Probab.*, **29** (2001), 1205–1261.

[5] B. Collins, A. Dahlqvist and T. Kemp, Strong convergence of unitary Brownian motion. arXiv:1502.06186v2.

[6] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications.* Springer, 1998.
[7] A. Guionnet, *Large Random Matrices: Lectures on Macroscopic Asymptotics.* Lecture Notes in Math., **1957**, Springer, 2009.
[8] F. Hiai, T. Miyamoto and Y. Ueda, Orbital approach to microstate free entropy. *Internat. J. Math.*, **20** (2009), 227–273.
[9] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *The Semicircle Law, Free Random Variables and Entropy.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.
[10] Y. Hu, *Analysis on Gaussian Spaces.* World Scientific, 2016.
[11] I. Karatzas and S. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus.* Second edition, GTM **113**, Springer, 1998.
[12] T. Lévy, The master field on the plane. arXiv:1112.2452v2.
[13] T. Lévy and M. Maïda, On the Douglas–Kazakov phase transition. *ESAIM: Proc.*, **51** (2015), 89–121.
[14] A. Nica and R. Speicher, *Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability.* London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, 335. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
[15] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics.* Second edition. Probability and its Applications. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
[16] V. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 78. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
[17] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis.* Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1987.
[18] Y. Ueda, Orbital free entropy, revisited. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **63** (2014), 551–577.
[19] Y. Ueda, A remark on orbital free entropy. arXiv:1610.04118.
[20] D. Voiculescu, Limit laws for random matrices and free products. *Invent. Math.* 104, 1 (1991), 201–220.
[21] D. Voiculescu, The analogue of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory VI: liberation and mutual free information. *Adv. Math.* **146** (1999), 101–166.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, 810-8560, Japan
E-mail address: ueda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp